## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information			38-24-29 = 082-54-20 = -						
Kentucky [21] Greenup County [089]			Unknown [00000] 1 MI NE OF JCT KY 1 38.408056 82.905556						
045B00063N Highway agency district 9		ency district 9	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]						
Route 3306	KY	-3306	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LITTLE SANDY RIVER						
Design - main  Truss - Thru [10]  Design - approach  Truss - Thru [10]  Design - approach  Truss - Thru [10]  Design - approach  Year built 1868  Year reconstructed 1990  Skew angle 0  Historical significance  Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]									
Total length 47.9 m = 157.2 ft Length of maximum span 47.9 m = 157.2 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.1 m = 13.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.7 m = 12.1 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.6 m = 11.8 ft			Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft						
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete		Monolithic Concrete	te (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wear	ring surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length  1.9 km = 1.2 mi	1 9 km = 1 2 mi								
		ermine operating rating							
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above l	legal loads [5] Design Load						

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 214 Average daily tru	uck traffi 0 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic 261 Year 2031									
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Danair and Dania coment Diana										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 322000 Roadway improvement cost 0									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Total project cost 321000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum t	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment								
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]								
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status	s evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2	]				
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protect	ction not required [1]	Suffici	iency rating	41.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings	[I	npected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	S	npected feature meets currently acce	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	npected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends	npected feature meets currently acce	eature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date September 2011 [0911] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months										
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date S	September 2011 [0911]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	nspection date							