HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2012 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information										38-07-41 =	084-38-12 = -		
Kentucky [21]		Woodford County [239]				Unknown [00000]		1.8 MI N OF JCT KY 1681		38.128056	84.636667		
120C00006N		Highway agency district 7			Owner	Owner County Highway Agency [02]			Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]			Agency [02]	
Route	Route 1015 WEISENBERGER MILL				Toll	On free	e road [3]	Features inters	sected SOUTH EL	KHORN CREEK			
Design - Steel [3] main			Design approac	h Other	[00]	0]		Kilometerpoint Year built 1930	405.4 km = 251.3 mi 30 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]				
				00]			Skew angle 0	Structure Flared					
									Historical significar	nce Bridg	e is eligible for the I	NRHP. [2]	
Total length 21.9 m = 71.9 ft Length of maximum span 21.9 m = 71.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 3.7 m = 12.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.7 m = 12.1 ft													
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.6 m = 11.8 ft				Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidew				ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft				
Deck structure type Corrugated Steel [6]													
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]													
Deck protection													
Type of membrane/wearing surface													
Weight Limits													
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]				Inventory rating	rating 13.6 metric ton = 15.0 tons					
1 km = 0.6 mi Method to determine operating rating			Loa	Load Factor(LF) [1]			Operating rating	13.6 metric ton = 15.0 tons					
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below				w [3]				Design Load	L				

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 602 Average daily tr	uck traffi % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 602 Year 2026									
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure1Approach roadway width4.9 m = 16.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Densir and Danks are not Dises										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 130000 Roadway improvement cost 0									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement2.2 m = 7.2 ftTotal project cost129000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency											
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]								
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]								
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]								
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry									
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]									
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. F minor stream bed movement	g to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is d movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]									
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status	evaluation	Functionally obsolete	[2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficie	ency rating	36.4						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings											
Traffic safety features - transition	15										
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail										
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends										
Inspection date December 2011 [1211] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months											
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date								
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date De	ecember 2011							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date								