HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							29-58-30.83 =	092-08-22.42
Louisiana [22] Vermilion Parish [113]		Abb	Abbeville [00100] 0.13 MI W OF US				29.975231	
35700550600131 Highway agency district: 3		istrict: 3 Ow	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance	Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]		ncy [01]
Route 14	LA0014B		Toll On free	e road [3]	Features intersec	ted VERMILION	R/ABBEVILLE	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Movable - I	a	Concrete [1 pproach Tee beam [-	Kilometerpoint 20 Year built 1938 Skew angle 0	0.4 km = 12.6 mi Year red Structure FI	onstructed N/A ared	[0000]	
				Historical significanc	e Bridge is	eligible for the N	IRHP. [2]	
Total length 73.5 m = 241.2 ft Length of maximum span 22.9 m = 75.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.9 m = 35.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Tota	Horizontal Clearance 9	.1 m = 29.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk wid	dth - left $1.5 \text{ m} = 4$	1.9 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft
Deck structure type	Open	Grating [3]						
Type of wearing surfa	ce							
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	In	nventory rating	13.6 metric ton =	= 15.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1]			0	perating rating	28.1 metric ton =	= 30.9 tons		
	Bridge posting 20.0	0 - 29.9 % below [2]		D	esign Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 8700 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2016 Future average daily traffic 10962 Year 2036							
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 10.4 m = 34.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearance 17.1 m = 56.1 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 13.4 m = 44.0 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 7 m = 23.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.55 m = 14.9 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance [0 = N/A] Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 704000 Roadway improvement cost							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 82.6 m = 271.0 ft Total project cost 1056000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2016							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	Posted for load [P]		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replace	ement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well ve required or are in a stable con	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	cy Equal to present desirable crit	teria [8]	Status evaluation Structurally deficie	nt [1]					
Pier or abutment protection	In place but in a deteriorated of	condition [3]	Sufficiency rating 47.6						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitio	ns								
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends								
Inspection date May 2017 [0517] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec							
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins							
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspe	tion date May 2018 [0518]						