HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation						30-06-13.75 =	092-04-48.83	
Louisiana [22] Lafayette Parish [055])55]	Unknown [00000] 1.2 MI WEST OF LA		33	30.103819	= -92.080231		
32802130500001		Highway age	ency district: 3	Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		State Highway Age	ncy [01]	
Route 92 LA0092			Toll On fre	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersected VERMILIO	ON R @ MILTON			
Design - main	nain approach		approach	eel [3] ringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Year built 1948	n = 0.0 mi Year reconstructed N/	'A [0000]		
				Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Flared Bridge is eligible for the				
Total length 102.4 m = 336.0 ft Length of maximum span 29.3 m = 96.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.2 m = 30.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7 m = 23.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.5 m = 1.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right									
Deck struc	cture type		Open Grating [3]						
Type of w	earing surfac	ce							
Deck prote	ection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			ing Load and Resistance	e Factor Rating (L Inve	entory rating 13.9 metric to	n = 15.3 tons			
0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to determine operating rating			ing Load and Resistance	e Factor Rating (L Ope	erating rating 17.8 metric to	n = 19.6 tons			
		Bridge posting	30.0 - 39.9 % b	pelow [1]	Desi	ign Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 10000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2016 Future average daily traffic 12348 Year 2036								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.8 m = 32.2 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearance 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 14.6 m = 47.9 ft									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	dge 13 m = 42.7 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.01 m = 16.4 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 951000 Roadway improvement cost								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 111.6 m = 366.2 ft Total project cost 1426000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2016								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically int	ntolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable crit	eria [8]	S	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection	In place but in a deteriorated of	condition [3]	(Sufficiency rating 42.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach	Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Inspection date August 2018 [0818] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
·	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec		July 2018 [0718]					
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins		August 2018 [0818]					
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspe	ection date	August 2017 [0817]					