HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inforn	mation									32-42-09	9.94 = 093-56-43.08
Louisiana [22] Caddo Parish [017]			Unl	Unknown [00000] .9 MI NORTH OF LA 169				32.7027			
40900450112421		High	Highway agency district: 4		Ov	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Mai	Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agence		vay Agency [01]
Route 1			LA0001	1		Toll On	free road [3]	Feature	es intersected CADE	OO LAKE	
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru [10]			Design - approach Concret			Kilometerpoint Year built 1940		m = 1244.3 mi Year reconstructed	N/A [0000]		
						Historical significa	Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]				
Total length $294.7 \text{ m} = 966.9 \text{ ft}$ Length of maximum span $30.5 \text{ m} = 100.1 \text{ ft}$ Deck width, out-to-out $8.7 \text{ m} = 28.5 \text{ ft}$ Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb $7.3 \text{ m} = 24.0 \text{ ft}$											
Inventory Ro	oute, Total	Horizontal	I Clearance	7.3 m = 24	.0 ft	Curb or sidewall	width - left 0.4 m	= 1.3 ft	Curb	or sidewalk width - ri	oight $0.4 \text{ m} = 1.3 \text{ ft}$
Deck structur	ire type		Со	ncrete Cast	in-Place [1]						
Type of wear	iring surfac	e									
Deck protection											
Type of mem	mbrane/we	aring surfa	ace								
Weight Limi	its										
Bypass, detour length 2.1 km = 1.3 mi Method to dete			d to determin	ne inventory	rating	Load and Resistance Factor Rating (L		Inventory	rating 14.6 metri	ic ton = 16.1 tons	
			d to determin	ne operating	rating	Load and Resista	nce Factor Rating (L	Operating	g rating 18.8 metri	ic ton = 20.7 tons	
		Bridge	e posting 3	30.0 - 39.9	% below [1]			Design Lo	oad M 13.5 / H 15	[2]	

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 5400 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 26 % Year 2016 Future average daily traffic 6490 Year 2036							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 2529000 Roadway improvement cost							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 296.6 m = 973.1 ft Total project cost 3793000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2016							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ed to be stable for the asso	essed or calculatec	d scour condition. [i	8]				
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well v required or are in a stable co	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	cy Equal to present desirable cr	Equal to present desirable criteria [8] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]							
Pier or abutment protection			Suffi	iciency rating 2					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitio	ns								
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail Inpected fea	nture meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends								
Inspection date January 201	8 [0118] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months	S					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date	May 2018 [0518]					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date						
Other special inspection	Unknown [Y06]	Other special insp	January 1999 [199]						