HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation											30-09-19.58 =	089-51-21.85
Louisiana [22]		Orlea	Orleans Parish [071]			Unknown [00000] .01 MI NORTH O		RTH OF I	F I-10		30.155439	= -89.856069	
23600180200001			Highway agency district: 2		Owne	Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Mainter	Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency		ency [01]	
Route 11 US0011				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LAKE PC			LAKE PON	TCHARTRAIN					
Design - main Steel [3] Movable - Bascule [16]			e [16]	Design - approach 0 Other		[00]		1938 le 0	O.6 km = 0.4 mi Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Structure Flared				
							Historical	significand	ce Br	idge is elig	ible for the I	NRHP. [2]	
Total length 7596.2 m = 24923.1 ft Length of maximum span 45.7 m = 149.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.2 m = 33.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft													
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right								0 m = 0.0 ft					
Deck structure type Open Grating [3]													
Type of wearing surface													
Deck protection													
Type of membrane/wearing surface													
Weight L	imits												
			lethod to deterr	rmine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		li	nventory rati	ing 16.3	3 metric ton	= 17.9 tons	
1.4 km = 0.9 mi		Method to determine operating rating			rating A	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Operating rat	perating rating 39 metric ton = 42.9 tons			
Bridge posting							Design Load	M 13.5 /	H 15 [2]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 6800 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2015 Future avera	ge daily traffic 11560 Year 2036						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 12.5 m = 41.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navig	gation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 304.5 m = 999.1 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 46.6 m = 152.9 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimu Minimu	ım vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 64797000	Roadway improvement cost						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 7596.2 m =	24923.1 ft Total project cost 97195000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2016							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations deter	mined to be stable for the ass	sessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirat	ole criteria [8]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]						
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning	[2]	Sufficiency rating 9						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpecte	d feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpecte	d feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpecte	d feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpecte	d feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date January 201	8 [0118] Designated	inspection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ection date December 2015 [1215]						
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	nspection date January 2018 [0118]						
Other special inspection	Unknown [Y06]	Other special insp	pection date July 2018 [0718]						