## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation										30-14-07.55 =	089-38-22.92
Louisiana [22]		St. Tammany Parish [103]			Unl	Unknown [00000] US0090					30.235431	= -89.639700
6252000	60707111		Highway agenc	y district: 62	Ov	wner State Highway	Agency [01]		Maintenanc	e responsibility	State Highway Ag	ency [01]
Route 90	0		US009	90		Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Fea	atures interse	ected MIDDLE	MIDDLE PEARL RIVE	
main	Steel [3]	u [10]		Design - approach	Concrete [		Kilometerpoin Year built	1131 933	.6 km = 701. Year re	6 mi econstructed N	/A [0000]	
3 Truss - Thru [10]			12	Tee beam [04]		3	0	Structure Flared				
							Historical sign	nificance	Bridge	is eligible for the	e NRHP. [2]	
Total leng	th 177.4 m	า = 582	2.0 ft Len	gth of maxim	um span 2	4.4 m = 80.1 ft	Deck width,	out-to-out	8.4 m = 27.0	6 ft Bridge ro	padway width, curb-to-	curb $7.3 \text{ m} = 24.0 \text{ ft}$
Inventory	Route, Total	l Horizo	ontal Clearance	7.3 m = 24	.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 0.4	4 m = 1.3 f	ft	Curb or s	idewalk width - right	0.4 m = 1.3 ft
Deck struc	cture type		Co	oncrete Cast	in-Place [1]	]						
Type of w	earing surfa	се										
Deck prot	ection											
Type of m	nembrane/we	earing s	surface									
Weight Li	imits											
31	detour lengtl	h M	ethod to determi	ne inventory	rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inver	ntory rating	11.8 metric to	n = 13.0 tons	
15.9 km :	= 9.9 mi	M	ethod to determi	ne operating	rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Oper	ating rating	20 metric ton	= 22.0 tons	
		Br	ridge posting	30.0 - 39.9	% below [1]	]		Desig	gn Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 2500 Average daily tr	uck traffi 11 % Year 2016 Future average daily traff	fic 1900 Year 2036							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contro								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1578000 Roadway	/ improvement cost							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 185 m = 607.0 ft	Total project cost 2367000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2016								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Suffici	ciency									
Structure status Po	Posted for loa	ad [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - sup	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - sub	bstructure	Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - dec	ck	Fair [5]	C	deck geometry						
Scour		Countermeasu	ires have been insta	alled to mitigate an ex	kisting proble	m with scour. [7]				
Channel and channel p	protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - wat	ter adequac	Better than pre	esent minimum crite	eria [7]		Status evaluation	tatus evaluation Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment prote	ection	Navigation pro	Navigation protection not required [1]			Sufficiency rating 6				
Culverts Not applica	able. Used i	f structure is not a cul	vert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features	s - transition	S	Inpected feature	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features	s - approach	guardrail	Inpected feature	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features	s - approach	guardrail ends	Inpected feature	meets currently acce	ptable stand	ards. [1]				
Inspection date D	December 20	D18 [1218]	esignated inspection	n frequency 24	N	Months				
Underwater inspection	on	Unknown [Y60]	own [Y60]		Underwater inspection date		4 [0914]			
Fracture critical inspe	L	Every two years [Y24]			spection date	December 2018	December 2018 [1218]			
Other special inspect	ction	Unknown [Y06]		Other special insp	ection date	June 2018 [0618	3]			