HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							29-41-40.06 =	091-13-00.84
Louisiana [22]	St. Mary Parish [101]		Berwick [07100]	INT OF US 90 AND L	A 70		29.694461	= -91.216900
35104240532701	Highway agency	district: 3	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance r	esponsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 90	US0090		Toll On fr	ee road [3]	eatures intersect	ed ATCHAFAL	AYA RIVER	
Design - Steel continumain Truss - Thru	. ,	Design - approach Other	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 53 Year built 1975 Skew angle 0	14.2 km = 3294.8 Year reco	onstructed N/A	[0000]	
				Historical significance	e Bridge is	not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 560.5 m =	= 1839.0 ft Lengt	h of maximum sp	nan 188.1 m = 617.2 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	out 24.1 m = 79.1	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-ci	urb 20.7 m = 67.9
Inventory Route, Total H	Horizontal Clearance	10.4 m = 34.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Con	ncrete Cast-in-Pla	ice [1]					
Type of wearing surface	9							
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wear	ring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine	e inventory rating	Load and Resistance	e Factor Rating (L Inv	ventory rating	37.6 metric ton	= 41.4 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determine	e operating rating	Load and Resistance	e Factor Rating (L Op	perating rating	48.6 metric ton	= 53.5 tons	
	Bridge posting Ed	qual to or above I	enal loads [5]		esign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 34600 Average daily tr	uck traffi 14 % Year 2016 Future average daily traffic 57460 Year 2035						
Road classification Principal Arterial - Other Freeways or Exp Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 11.6 m = 38.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median Open median [1]						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 15.2 m = 49.9 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 160 m = 525.0 ft						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 9.14 m = 30.0 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 8128000 Roadway improvement cost 812000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 560.5 m = 1839.0 ft Total project cost 12192000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2015						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency			
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry	
Scour	Countermeasures	have been installed to mitigate an ex	xisting problem with scour. [7]
Channel and channel protection		ed or well vegetated. River control da a stable condition. [8]	evices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present of	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 80.3
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culver	t. [N]	
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transition	ns N	lot applicable or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Not a		lot applicable or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends N	lot applicable or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]
Inspection date March 1999	[399] Desig	nated inspection frequency 24	Months
•	Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60]		March 2017 [0317]
	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	ection date March 2018 [0318]

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information										29-41-34.69 =	091-13-13.98
Louisiana [22]	St. Mary Pa	arish [101]		Berwick	[07100]	INT OF US 90	AND LA	70		29.692969	= -91.220550
35104240532371	Highv	way agency	y district: 3	Owner	State Highway	Agency [01]		Maintenance	responsibility	State Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 90		US009	0		Toll On fro	ee road [3]	Fea	atures intersec	ted WEST APF	PR ATCHAFALAYA R	
Design - Prestress	ed concrete [5	5]	Design - approach	Prestressed con	ncrete [5]	Kilometerpoint		1.4 km = 3262.			
22 Stringer/l	/lulti-beam or ξ	girder [02]	22	Mixed types [20)]		99	Year red Structure F	constructed N/A	A [0000]	
						Historical signi	ficance	Bridge is	s not eligible for	the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 528.2	m = 1733.0 ft	Leng	gth of maxim	um span 39.6 m	n = 129.9 ft	Deck width, o	out-to-out	22.3 m = 73.2	2 ft Bridge roa	adway width, curb-to-c	eurb 20.7 m = 67.9 ft
Inventory Route, To	al Horizontal (Clearance	10.4 m = 3	4.1 ft C	urb or sidewalk w	vidth - left 0 n	n = 0.0 ft		Curb or sid	lewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Со	ncrete Cast-	-in-Place [1]							
Type of wearing sur	ace										
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/	vearing surfac	ce									
Weight Limits											
Bypass, detour len	gth Method	to determine	ne inventory	rating Lo	ad Factor(LF) [1]		Inve	ntory rating	29.9 metric ton	= 32.9 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method	to determine	ne operating	rating Lo	ad Factor(LF) [1]		Ope	rating rating	49.9 metric ton	= 54.9 tons	
	Bridge p	posting E	Equal to or a	bove legal loads	[5]		Desi	gn Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 34600 Average daily	ruck traffi 14 % Year 2016 Futu	ure average daily traffic	57460 Year 2035				
Road classification	vays or Exp Lanes on structure 4		Approach roadway width 10.4 m = 34.1 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tra	ffic [2]	Bridge median Open median [1]				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structu	re exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control	Not applicable, no waterway. [N]				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizonta	I clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift be	idge	Minimum vertical cleara	ance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Highway beneath structure [H]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3.2 m = 10	.5 ft	Minimum lateral undercle	arance on left 0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 6.3 m = 20.7 ft	Minimum vertical unde	erclearance reference fea	ture Highway beneath structure [H]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway imp	provement cost				
Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Bor	rder bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency			
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry	
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N	I]	
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	sy N/A [N]		Status evaluation
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 95.4
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transition	Not applicate	ole or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Not applicat	ole or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Not applicat	ole or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]
Inspection date March 2018	[0318] Designated insp	ection frequency 24	Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Informat	tion									29-41-48.70 =	091-12-39.17
Louisiana [22]	St. M	Mary Parish [101]		Morgan	City [52040]	INT OF US 90 AN	D LA 70			29.696861	= -91.210881
351042405330)51	Highway agenc	y district: 3	Owner	State Highway	Agency [01]	Mainte	enance responsib	ility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 90		US009	00		Toll On fr	ree road [3]	Features in	ntersected ATCH	HAFALAY	A R/US90/LA70	
Design - Steel main	·l [3]		Design - approach	Prestressed cor	ncrete [5]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1977	5370.2 km =	ear reconstructed	1004		
5 String	ger/Multi-bea	am or girder [02]	157	Mixed types [20]	Skew angle 99		cture Flared	177 4		
						Historical significa	nce B	ridge is not eligib	le for the I	NRHP. [5]	
Total length 43	316.3 m = 14	4161.8 ft Len	gth of maximu	ım span 51.8 m	ı = 170.0 ft	Deck width, out-	to-out 22.3 m	n = 73.2 ft Bridg	ge roadwa	ıy width, curb-to-cı	20.7 m = 67.9 f
Inventory Route	e, Total Horiz	zontal Clearance	10.4 m = 34	.1 ft C	urb or sidewalk v	width - left 0 m =	0.0 ft	Curb	or sidewa	lk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure t	type	Co	oncrete Cast-	n-Place [1]							
Type of wearing	g surface										
Deck protection	1										
Type of membra	ane/wearing	surface									
Weight Limits											
Bypass, detour		lethod to determi	ne inventory	rating Loa	ad Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory ra	ting 31.7 metr	ric ton = 34	4.9 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 m	ni M	lethod to determi	ne operating	rating Loa	ad Factor(LF) [1]		Operating ra	iting 64.4 metr	ric ton = 70	0.8 tons	
	В	ridge posting	Equal to or at	ove legal loads	[5]		Design Load	MS 18 / HS 20	0 [5]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 25700 Average daily to	ruck traffi 14 % Year 2016 Futu	re average daily traffic	57460 Year 2035	5				
Road classification	Road classification Principal Arterial - Other Freeways or Exp Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 10.4 m = 34.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic	ffic [2]	Bridge median	Closed median with non-mountable barr				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure								
Type of service under bridge Highway, with or with	out ped Lanes under structure 6	Navigation control	lot applicable, no waterway	y. [N]				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizonta	I clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	idge	Minimum vertical clearand	ce over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	lighway beneath structure [H]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 5.2 m = 17	1 ft	Minimum lateral undercleara	ance on left $6.7 \text{ m} = 22.0 \text{ ft}$					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 5.18 m = 17.0 ft	Minimum vertical unde	rclearance reference featur	re Highway beneath struc	ture [H]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Equal to preser	nt minimum criteria [6]							
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway impro	ovement cost					
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Borde	er bridge - percent respons	ibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N]				
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y N/A [N]		Status evaluation			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 97.4			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected fea	ture meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected fea	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected fea	ture meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]			
Inspection date March 2018	[0318] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months			
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date			
	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date			