

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Louisiana [22]	St. Martin Parish [099]	St. Martinville [67600]	.13 MI EAST OF LA 31	30-07-23.77 = 30.123269	091-49-36.84 = -91.826900
35002380300131	Highway agency district: 3	Owner State Highway Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 96	LA0096	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected	BAYOU TECHE ST M.	
Design - main Steel [3]	Design - approach Concrete [1]	Kilometerpoint 21.9 km = 13.6 mi	Year built 1942	Year reconstructed N/A [0000]	
1	Movable - Swing [17]	3	Tee beam [04]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared
			Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]	
Total length 70.1 m = 230.0 ft	Length of maximum span 40.5 m = 132.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 10.1 m = 33.1 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.9 m = 3.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.9 m = 3.0 ft			
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface					
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 0.8 km = 0.5 mi	Method to determine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Inventory rating	20 metric ton = 22.0 tons
	Method to determine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Operating rating	30.8 metric ton = 33.9 tons
Bridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 % below [2]		Design Load	M 13.5 / H 15 [2]

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	8200	Average daily truck traffi	9 %	Year	2016	Future average daily traffic	4920	Year	2036
Road classification	Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure	2	Approach roadway width	13.4 m = 44.0 ft				
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]	Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure	0	Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0.9 m = 3.0 ft		Navigation horizontal clearance	11 m = 36.1 ft					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge		Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A			Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]								

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	676000	Roadway improvement cost	
	Length of structure improvement	79.2 m = 259.9 ft	Total project cost	1014000
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2016		
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Posted for load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning [2]	Sufficiency rating	52.6
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	November 2017 [1117]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspection date	June 2018 [0618]
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical inspection date	November 2017 [1117]
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspection date	November 2018 [1118]