The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-55-12 =	069-58-00 = -
Maine [23] Cumberland County [005]		Brunswick [08430] .2 MI N US 1				43.920000	69.966667	
2016 Highway agency district 1		Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 201	oute 201 US 201			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected ANDROSCO			OGGIN RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main  3 Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach  0 Other	r [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1931 Skew angle 0 Historical signific	Structure F	constructed 198		
Total length 248.4 m = 815.0 ft Length of maximum span 94.5 m = 310.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft		Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or side		ewalk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft		
Deck structure type		Closed Grating [4]						
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS	S) [2]	Inventory rating	21.8 metric ton	= 24.0 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi  Method to determine operating rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating	36.3 metric ton = 39.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load MS	5 18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 19400 Average daily true	k traffi 5 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 27160 Year 2030							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearance 7.6 m = 24.9 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 50 m = 164.1 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridg	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway $4.85 \text{ m} = 15.9 \text{ ft}$							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 99.9 = Unlimited								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 7397000 Roadway improvement cost 740000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 253.3 m = 831.1 ft Total project cost 11096000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2004							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number n/a							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Superior to pres	ent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection	In place but re-e	valuation of design suggested [4]	Sufficiency rating 51.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	rt. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date November 2010 [1110] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					