The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-41-21 =	070-40-14 = -	
Maine [23]	ork County [031]		Limington [39405] 20 FT E BEAVER		AVER BERRY RD	R BERRY RD		70.670556	
5165 Highway agency district 1		Owner Town or To	Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility			Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]		
Route 0 NASONS MILLS ROAD Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LITTLE OSIPEE RV									
Design - Steel [3] main 2 Truss - Thru	[10]	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpo Year built Skew angle Historical sig	1923 Year re 0 Structure F	econstructed N/A	[0000] ne NRHP. [5]		
Total length 33.8 m = 110.9 ft Length of maximum span 16.2 m = 53.2 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.2 m = 17.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.9 m = 16.1 ft			Curb or sidew	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Curb or sidewalk			walk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface Gravel [8]		Gravel [8]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wear	ring surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stres	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		32.7 metric ton =	= 36.0 tons			
1.1 km = 0.7 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stres	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		rating 50.8 metric ton = 55.9 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Design Load MS	Design Load MS 13.5 / HS 15 [3]					

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 180 Average daily tru	ck traffi 5 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 270 Year 2030								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3 m = 9.8 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.9 m = 327.8 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 104000 Roadway improvement cost 10000								
	Length of structure improvement 33.8 m = 110.9 ft Total project cost 156000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2004								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number n/a								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimuis [5]	um adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limi	ts to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	iteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection				66.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date August 2009 [0809] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						