## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2011 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 43-05-09 = 070-45-37 = -												
New Hampshire [33] F		Rockingham County [015]			Ports	mouth [62900]	MAINE SL				43.085833	70.760278
021702510010800		Highway	Highway agency district 6		Own	Jwner     Local Toll Authority [32]     Maintenance			esponsibility	Local Toll Author	ity [32]	
Route 1 US 1 BYPASS				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected PISCATAC				ed PISCATAQU	A RIVER & RR			
Design - mainSteel [3]5Movable - Lift [15]		D a 2	Design - S pproach 2 (	Steel [3] Girder and floorbeam system [03]		Kilometerpoi Year built Skew angle Historical sic	ometerpoint   431.3 km = 267.4 mi     ar built   1940     Year reconstructed   1991     ew angle   0     Structure Flared				]	
Total length   854.7 m = 2804.3 ft   Length of maximum span 69.2 m = 227.0 ft   Deck width, out-to-out   11 m = 36.1 ft   Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb   9.1 m = 29.9 ft												
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.8 m = 2.6 ft					Curb or sidew	walk width - right	0.8 m = 2.6 ft					
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]												
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]												
Deck protection												
Type of membrane/wearing surface												
Weight L	imits.											
Bypass, detour lengthMethod to determin1.3 km = 0.8 miMethod to determin		determine	ermine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory	y rating	10.1 metric ton =	11.1 tons		
		determine	mine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operatin	g rating	17 metric ton = 1	8.7 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above			ove legal loa	gal loads [5]			Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]					

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 15000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2006 Fu	uture average daily traffic 22	200 Year 2032				
Road classification   Principal Arterial - Other Freeways or Exp   Lanes on structure   2   Approach roadway width   9.8 m = 32.2 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-railroad [4]	Direction of traffic 2 - way to	raffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rail	road [ Lanes under structure 4	Navigation control Nav	vigation control on waterway (br	idge permit required). [1]			
Navigation vertical clearance   41 m = 134.5 ft     Navigation horizontal clearance   61 m = 200.1 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 3 m = 9.8 ft	Minimum vertical clearance	over bridge roadway 4.9 m	= 16.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ghway beneath structure [H]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.7 m = 8.9 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 2 m = 6.6 ft							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 5.09 m = 16.7 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature [H]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by cont	ract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because	Bridge improvement cost 2000000	Roadway improve	ement cost 200000				
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement	854.7 m = 2804.3 ft Total	project cost 2500000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2011					
	Border bridge - state Unknown [231]	Border	bridge - percent responsibility o	f other state 45			
	Border bridge - structure number 0						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]     Equal to present desirable criteria [8]     Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -							
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of mi Banks and/or channel have min	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	sy Superior to present desirable cr	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]			turally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not requir	red [1]	Suf	ficiency rating 5					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	S								
Traffic safety features - approach	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date November 2010 [1110] Designated inspection frequency 16 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	tion date	September 2008 [090	8]				
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [Y08]	Fracture critical ins	pection date	November 2010 [1110	)]				
Other special inspection	Unknown [Y08]	Other special inspe	ection date	November 2007 [1107	]				