

# HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2011 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

## Basic Information

Maine [23]	Kennebec County [011]	Waterville [80740]	US 201 OVER KENN RIV	44-37-50 = 44.630556	069-37-39 = - 69.627500
2854	Highway agency district	2	Owner	State Highway Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility
State Highway Agency [01]					
Route	201		RTE 201	Toll	On free road [3]
Features intersected	KENNEBEC RIVER				
Design - main	Steel [3]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint	8027.4 km = 4977.0 mi
5	Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]	0	Other [00]	Year built	1936
				Year reconstructed	1990
				Skew angle	0
				Structure Flared	
				Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]
Total length	175.3 m = 575.2 ft	Length of maximum span	44.2 m = 145.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	26.2 m = 86.0 ft
Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	18.9 m = 62.0 ft	Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	18.9 m = 62.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left	1.8 m = 5.9 ft
Curb or sidewalk width - right	2.4 m = 7.9 ft	Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]		
Type of wearing surface	Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]				
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

## Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Inventory rating	34.5 metric ton = 38.0 tons
1.9 km = 1.2 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Operating rating	51.7 metric ton = 56.9 tons
Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load	M 18 / H 20 [4]	

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	18612	Average daily truck traffi	5	%	Year	2010	Future average daily traffic	26057	Year	2030
Road classification	Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	8.5 m = 27.9 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	1 - way traffic [1]		Bridge median	Closed median (no barriers) [2]			
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]			
Navigation vertical clearanc	2.1 m = 6.9 ft		Navigation horizontal clearance	25.9 m = 85.0 ft						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft				Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.9 m = 327.8 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	99.9 = Unlimited					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	99.9 = Unlimited			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed

Work done by

Bridge improvement cost

Roadway improvement cost

Length of structure improvement

Total project cost

Year of improvement cost estimate

Border bridge - state

Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state

Border bridge - structure number

n/a

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection has failed. River control devices have been destroyed. Stream bed aggradation, degradation or lateral movement has changed the channel to now threaten the bridge and/or approach roadway. [3]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation	
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not required [1]	Sufficiency rating	74
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	December 2010 [1210]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspection date	March 2007 [0307]
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date	
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	