The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Informat	on									00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Maryland [24] Frederick County [021]		Mye	Myersville [54875] AT MD RTE 17		ΓE 17 INT	NT		0.000000	0.000000		
200000F-1615010 Highway agency district 7			Ow	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	Agency [02]			
Route 122 E. CHURCH HILL RD				RD	Toll On	free road [3]		eatures interse	cted MIDDLE CF	REEK	
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Truss - Thru [10] 0		approach	Other [00]	Kilometerpoint 3.2 km = 2.0 mi Year built 1908 Year reconstructed 1994 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the significance of the signifi							
Total length 20.1 m = 65.9 ft Length of maximum span 20.1 m = 65.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.7 m = 12.1 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.6 m = 11.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft											
Deck structure to Type of wearing Deck protection	· ·	Wo	ood or Timbe	r [8]							
Type of membra	ne/wearing surfac	ice									
Weight Limits											
Bypass, detour length 0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine invento Method to determine operation			,	Ü	Load Factor(LF) [1 Load Factor(LF) [1			ventory rating perating rating	18 metric ton = 29.7 metric ton		
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]						Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]					

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 204 Average daily tru	uck traffi 4 % Year 1996 Future average daily traffic 638 Year 2011							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 2.7 m = 8.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for Io	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour		<u> </u>	xisting problem with scour. [7]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have m	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	Equal to present desirable cr	iteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	50.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date August 2010 [0810] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in:	spection date August 2010	[0810]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						