## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2017 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Informatio		ord County	025]	Linknov	up [00000]	2.17 MILES S OF	MD 165		39-38-29.92 =	076-24-44.33	
Maryland [24]		Harford County [025]			Unknown [00000] 2.17 MILES S OF		· MID 165		39.641644	= -76.412314	
100000120016010		Highway agency district 4		Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance responsibility S		State Highway Age	State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 24 MD 24				Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersec	ted DEER CREE	EK			
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru [10]		Design - approach 0	Other [00]		KilometerpointYear built1934Skew angle56Historical significar	934   Year reconstructed   2001     56   Structure Flared					
Total length       40.2 m = 131.9 ft       Length of maximum span       37.5 m = 123.0 ft       Deck width, out-to-out       9.6 m = 31.5 ft       Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb       8.7 m = 28.5 ft								urb 8.7 m = 28.5 ft			
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 8.7 m = 28.5 ft			3.5 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewal			walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type Other [9]											
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]											
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/wearing surface											
Weight Limits											
Bypass, detour length Method to determine invento		rating	ting Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating 36.3 metric ton = 39.9 tons						
1 km = 0.6 mi Method to determine operating ratio			g rating Lo	ad Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	43.5 metric ton =	47.9 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above le				above legal load	s [5]		Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]				

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 3522 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 8 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 4061 Year 2026
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure   2   Approach roadway width   8.5 m = 27.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structur	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure   0   Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	idge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.27 m = 14.0 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost   249000   Roadway improvement cost   25000
	Length of structure improvement40.2 m = 131.9 ftTotal project cost274000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state     Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Appraisal ratings - Equ roadway alignment			Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	A	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]		deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	cy Superior to pres	sent desirable crite	eria [9]		Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection	None present b	None present but re-evaluation suggested [5			Sufficiency rating 75.9				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected feature r	cted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	าร	Inpected feature r	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approact	h guardrail	Inpected feature r	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approact	h guardrail ends	Inpected feature r	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date March 2016	signated inspection	n frequency 24	Ionths						
Underwater inspection		Underwater inspec							
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:		spection date	March 2016 [0316]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eeded [N]		ection date					