

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

Maryland [24]	Frederick County [021]	Unknown [00000]	0.55 MILE EAST OF MD 872	39-21-24.78 = 39.356883	077-34-22.79 = -77.572997
100000100082010	Highway agency district: 7	Owner State Highway Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 180	MD 180	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected	CATOCTIN CREEK	
Design - main Concrete [1]	Design - approach	Kilometerpoint 989.5 km = 613.5 mi	Year built 1928	Year reconstructed #Num!	
3 Arch - Deck [11]	0 Other [00]	Skew angle 7	Structure Flared		
		Historical significance	Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3]		
Total length 63.1 m = 207.0 ft	Length of maximum span 21.3 m = 69.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 8.4 m = 27.6 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	7.3 m = 24.0 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Bituminous [6]				
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length 0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	38.1 metric ton = 41.9 tons
	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	63.5 metric ton = 69.9 tons
Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load		

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic  Average daily truck traffi  % Year  Future average daily traffic  Year

Road classification  Lanes on structure  Approach roadway width

Type of service on bridge  Direction of traffic  Bridge median

Parallel structure designation

Type of service under bridge  Lanes under structure  Navigation control

Navigation vertical clearanc  Navigation horizontal clearance

Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway

Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature

Minimum lateral underclearance on right  Minimum lateral underclearance on left

Minimum Vertical Underclearance  Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature

Appraisal ratings - underclearances

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed

Work done by

Bridge improvement cost  Roadway improvement cost

Length of structure improvement  Total project cost

Year of improvement cost estimate

Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state

Border bridge - structure number

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	<input type="text" value="Open, no restriction [A]"/>	Appraisal ratings - structural	<input type="text" value="Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]"/>
Condition ratings - superstructure	<input type="text" value="Fair [5]"/>	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	<input type="text" value="Equal to present desirable criteria [8]"/>
Condition ratings - substructure	<input type="text" value="Fair [5]"/>	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]"/>
Condition ratings - deck	<input type="text" value="Fair [5]"/>		
Scour	<input type="text" value="Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]"/>		
Channel and channel protection	<input type="text" value="Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]"/>		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	<input type="text" value="Better than present minimum criteria [7]"/>	Status evaluation	<input type="text" value="Functionally obsolete [2]"/>
Pier or abutment protection	<input type="text" value="None present but re-evaluation suggested [5]"/>	Sufficiency rating	<input type="text" value="67"/>
Culverts	<input type="text" value="Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]"/>		
Traffic safety features - railings	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - transitions	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Inspection date	<input type="text" value="September 2018 [0918]"/>	Designated inspection frequency	<input type="text" value="24"/> Months
Underwater inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Underwater inspection date	<input type="text"/>
Fracture critical inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Fracture critical inspection date	<input type="text"/>
Other special inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Other special inspection date	<input type="text"/>