The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Infor	mation													00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Maryland [2	Maryland [24] Frederick County [021]			Woo	Woodsboro [86750] 3 MI N OF WOODSBORO							0.000000	0.000000		
200000F-0410010 Highway agency district 7			Ow	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility						County Highway	Agency [02]				
Route 526 LEGORE BRIDGE ROAD				ROAD		Toll On fre	e road [3]		Feature	es intersed	cted MC	ONOCAC'	/ RIVER		
			approach	Masonry [8]  Arch - Deck [11]			Kilometerpoint 197.9 km = 122.7 mi  Year built 1903 Year reconstructed 2009  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Yes, flared [1]  Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]								
Total length 65.8 m = 215.9 ft Length of maximum span 19.2 m = 63.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.6 m = 24.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.6 m = 18.4 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.4 m = 21.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft															
Deck structure type  Not applicable [N]											]				
Type of wearing surface  Deck protection  Type of membrane/wearing surface  Bituminous [6]  Type of membrane/wearing surface															
Type of file	mbi ane, we	anny sunace													
Weight Lim															
$0.8 \mathrm{km} = 0.5 \mathrm{mi}$			, ,			No rating analysis performed [5]  No rating analysis performed [5]				Inventory rating 32.4 metric ton = Operating rating 43.2 metric ton =					
			ads [5]				Design Lo	oad							

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 664 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 1025 Year 2025							
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency											
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]								
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]								
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]								
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry									
Scour	Countermeasures have been	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]									
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]									
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cr	iteria [8]	Status evaluation								
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 74.7								
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]											
Traffic safety features - railings	Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transitions											
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected fea	ture meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends											
Inspection date June 2010 [0	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date								
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date									
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	ded [N] Other special inspection date									