The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inforr	mation												00-00-00 =	= 000-00-00 =	
Maryland [24] Carroll County [013]			3]	Unknown [00000]			4.3 M E KEYSVILLE ROAD				0.000000	0.000000	-		
200000CL0	Highv	Highway agency district 7			Owner	Agency [02	cy [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highw	County Highway Agency [02]				
Route 15 MUMMAFORD ROA			AD	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected MONOCAC					CY RIVER						
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru [10]			Design - approach	approach		Kilometerpoint 474.7 km = 294.3 mi Year built 1911 Year reconstructed 1988 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]									
Total length 69.8 m = 229.0 ft Length of maximum span 34.7 m = 113.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.8 m = 12.5 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft										2.5 ft					
Deck structure type Open Grating [3]				[3]											
Type of wearing surface															
Deck protection Unknown [8]															
Type of membrane/wearing surface															
Weight Limi	nits														
Bypass, detour length 1 km = 0.6 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting Equal to or above			rmine inventory	rating	Allov	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Inventory ra	ating	35.1 metric tor	n = 38.6 tons			
			rmine operating	rating	Allowable Stress(AS)		[2]		Operating r	ating	51.3 metric ton =	n = 56.4 tons			
			bove leg	egal loads [5]				Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]							

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 218 Average daily tr	uck traffi 8 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 418 Year 2030							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4 m = 13.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.82 m = 15.8 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 99.9 = Unlimited								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1100000 Roadway improvement cost 100000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 76.2 m = 250.0 ft Total project cost 1200000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requi	iring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridg	e foundations determined	to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have	f minor repairs. River cont minor amounts of drift. [7]	rol devices and embankment	t protection have a little minor damage.					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimur	n criteria [7]	Status evaluat	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rati	ing 49.8					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected fea	ature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date July 2009 [0	709] Designated insp	pection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date July 2009	[0709]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						