

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Maryland [24]	Frederick County [021]	Middletown [52425]	0.5 MI W OF OLD HAGERSTOW	00-00-00 = 0.000000	000-00-00 = -0.000000
200000F-0312010	Highway agency district 7	Owner County Highway Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 255	STATION ROAD	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected	CATOCTIN CREEK	
Design - main Steel [3]	Design - approach	Kilometerpoint 91.7 km = 56.9 mi	Year built 1920	Year reconstructed 1996	
1 Truss - Thru [10]	0 Other [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared		
		Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]		
Total length 18.9 m = 62.0 ft	Length of maximum span 18.3 m = 60.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 4.6 m = 15.1 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	4.1 m = 13.5 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.1 m = 13.5 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type	Wood or Timber [8]				
Type of wearing surface					
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to determine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Inventory rating	16.2 metric ton = 17.8 tons
	Method to determine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Operating rating	23.4 metric ton = 25.7 tons
Bridge posting	30.0 - 39.9 % below [1]		Design Load	M 13.5 / H 15 [2]

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic Average daily truck traffi % Year Future average daily traffic Year

Road classification Lanes on structure Approach roadway width

Type of service on bridge Direction of traffic Bridge median

Parallel structure designation

Type of service under bridge Lanes under structure Navigation control

Navigation vertical clearanc Navigation horizontal clearance

Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway

Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature

Minimum lateral underclearance on right Minimum lateral underclearance on left

Minimum Vertical Underclearance Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature

Appraisal ratings - underclearances

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed

Work done by

Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost

Length of structure improvement Total project cost

Year of improvement cost estimate

Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state

Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Posted for load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]		
Scour	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]		
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	53.7
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Inspection date	October 2010 [1010]	Designated inspection frequency	12 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical inspection date	October 2010 [1010]
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	