## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-12-38 =	072-14-44 = -
Massachusetts [25] Worcester County [027]		Warren [73090] 0.1MI S RT67 NEAR PALMER			42.210556	72.245556		
W0700617XMUNNBI Highway agency district: 2			Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility			Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]	
Route 0 HWY GILBERT RD			Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features interse	cted WATER QUA	ABOAG RIVER	
Design - Muminum, Wilron [9]  1 Truss - Thru [1]	ought Iron or Cast	Design - approach  O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1888 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed 2008	RHP. [2]	
Total length 22.3 m = 73.2 ft Length of maximum span 21.9 m = 71.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.6 m = 15							eurb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft						
Deck structure type Corrugated Steel [6]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			No rating analysis pe	erformed [5]	Inventory rating	32.4 metric ton =	35.6 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine operating rating		No rating analysis pe	erformed [5]	Operating rating	44.1 metric ton =	48.5 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			egal loads [5]		Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 260 Average daily truc	ck traffi 6 % Year 2003 Future average daily traffic 411 Year 2031							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fea	ture not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2012							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Excellent [9]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determ	nined to be stable for the ass	ssessed or calculated scour condition. [8]				
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need Banks and/or channel hav	of minor repairs. River cont re minor amounts of drift. [7]	Introl devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  7]				
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Better than present minim	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 76.7				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transitions							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date June 2010 [0	Designated in	rspection frequency 24	Months Months				
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspe	pection date				
•	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date				