## Massachusetts Cultural Resource Information System Scanned Record Cover Page

Inventory No:	CUM.904
Historic Name:	Stage Road Bridge over Mill Brook
Common Name:	
Address:	2 Main St
City/Town:	Cummington
Village/Neighborhood:	
Local No:	
Year Constructed:	c 1890
Architect(s):	
Architectural Style(s):	Truss Ball; Truss King Post Rod
Use(s):	Other Engineering; Other Transportation
Significance:	Engineering; Transportation
Area(s):	
Designation(s):	
Building Materials(s):	



The Massachusetts Historical Commission (MHC) has converted this paper record to digital format as part of ongoing projects to scan records of the Inventory of Historic Assets of the Commonwealth and National Register of Historic Places nominations for Massachusetts. Efforts are ongoing and not all inventory or National Register records related to this resource may be available in digital format at this time.

The MACRIS database and scanned files are highly dynamic; new information is added daily and both database records and related scanned files may be updated as new information is incorporated into MHC files. Users should note that there may be a considerable lag time between the receipt of new or updated records by MHC and the appearance of related information in MACRIS. Users should also note that not all source materials for the MACRIS database are made available as scanned images. Users may consult the records, files and maps available in MHC's public research area at its offices at the State Archives Building, 220 Morrissey Boulevard, Boston, open M-F, 9-5.

Users of this digital material acknowledge that they have read and understood the MACRIS Information and Disclaimer (<u>http://mhc-macris.net/macrisdisclaimer.htm</u>)

Data available via the MACRIS web interface, and associated scanned files are for information purposes only. THE ACT OF CHECKING THIS DATABASE AND ASSOCIATED SCANNED FILES DOES NOT SUBSTITUTE FOR COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LOCAL, STATE OR FEDERAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS. IF YOU ARE REPRESENTING A DEVELOPER AND/OR A PROPOSED PROJECT THAT WILL REQUIRE A PERMIT, LICENSE OR FUNDING FROM ANY STATE OR FEDERAL AGENCY YOU MUST SUBMIT A PROJECT NOTIFICATION FORM TO MHC FOR MHC'S REVIEW AND COMMENT. You can obtain a copy of a PNF through the MHC web site (www.sec.state.ma.us/mhc) under the subject heading "MHC Forms."

Commonwealth of Massachusetts Massachusetts Historical Commission 220 Morrissey Boulevard, Boston, Massachusetts 02125 www.sec.state.ma.us/mhc

This file was accessed on: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 at 1:13: PM

CUM. 904

### MASSACHUSETTS HISTORIC BRIDGE INVENTORY

Municipality: <u>Commington</u>				District: _2			
Street name/Rt. #:	Stage Rd.						
Over /	MIBack						
Street name/Rt. #:	MIII Drook	Contraction of the					
Bridge key #: TWN2120	016100	Photo ##s:					
Bridge plan #: <u>C-21-20</u>	io l	<u>.</u>					
Common/historic name	1						
Current owner:							
UTM coordinates:							
******	********	*********	********	******	****	******	
National Register status (insert date)			# 1	Field rating:			
Entered:	Entered: Potential:		ŧ	3	2	1	
Eligible:	Non-el	igible:		e			
******	*******	******	*********	******	****	******	
Date built (source):	1890-91 (1	S.A. Drew) ·					
Date(s) rebuilt (sou	rce): 1924	(comp.)					
Builder (source):							
Designer (source):							
****	********	*********	*******	******	****	******	
Structural type/mate	rials:	Ball pony truss.	"kingpost" ve	roion			
		3			2		
0	Deak	width /lawout.	14' out-as	1			
Overall length: 29	Deck	width/ tayout:	19 001-00	1.		-	
Skew:							
Main unit, # spans:_	<u> </u>	engths: 28				1202	
Approaches, # spans:	le	engths:					
Plaque: No locat	tion:						
Alterations, unusual new plank deck, strin	l features, oers are rolled	comments: I-beams, might be c	orig				

#### Visual quality (bridge and setting): High $\times$ Average Site integrity: Retained X Violated

Describe: Gorgeous wooded setting - rocky streambed between wooded hillsides; abandoned dams (small one ca. 50' below bridge, larger one, w/ several acre pond originally, ca. 200' above) and numerous overgrown masonry foundation and retaining walls line western bank

### History of bridge and site:

B. A. Drew says bridge was built elsewhere in Cummington (Rt9) in 1890-91 and was moved here 1924

### Sources:

# Summary statement of significance:

The obser A of only 3 known surviving Ball trusses, all in Massachusetts. D.A. Drew, who has researched Charles H. Ball and his patented iron truss bridges, believes 30-40 Ball truss bridges were built. all in the 18900 and mostly in western 1700, with only a few examples in nearby New York and Vermont. Among the 3 ourvivors this is the only "king red" version of the Ball truce (the other 2 and "queen rod" versions) and it appears structurally unattered (One of the gueen rod trusses - D-1-11 in Dalton - has been heavily reinforced w/ welded steel members)

3rd example in Windsor, W-41-11, 1893. Dalton bridge was found Potentially Eligible" 10-6-BI, built 1893. You should have a file on that one.

Statement prepared by: Date: Field survey by: 5. J. Roper, MDPW Hist. Bridger Cons. Date: 27 Dept 1984

SIA Neusdetter Sept 77

p.s.t., to a valve house at the surface.

The air-depleted water flowed along the tunnel and up a return shaft until it emptied into the river 48 ft. below the intake basin. When more air was produced than was being used, and the air pressure rose above 125 p.s.i., the water level in the tunnel was depressed and the excess air blown out, shooting a fountain of air and water over 100 ft. into the air.

From the valve house the compressed air was transmitted to Cobalt in 20-in. pipes. From there 12 and 6-in. feeders radiated to the customer mines. Air was sold by meter at \$.25/1000 cu. ft. to the large mines and at a graduated scale, based upon the number of drills in operation, to the smaller customers. It was not only cheaper than steam or electric power, but was free of noxious gases, and in curious contrast to its means of generation, exceptionally dry, important in winter work.

Other Taylor compressed air plants were at Magog, Quebec (1895), Ainsworth, British Columbia (1897), Peterborough, Ontario (1899), and the Victoria Mine, Ontonagon Co., Michigan (1906). S.A.O.

### SHAMROCK MILLS (Hanes Hosiery Mill No. 1)

In 1911 Shamrock Knitting Mills, a manufacturer of infants' and men's cotton socks, built a one-story brick building on Marshall St. in Winston-Salem, N.C. The ground floor was a half level below grade, and the main floor was capped by a sawtooth roof which illuminated the knitting rooms. Although the building's architect is unknown, it closely resembled the 1902-03 White Oak Plant of Cone Cotton Mills in Greensboro, N.C. designed by Providence, R.I. mill architects C.R. Makepeace & Sons.

The Mill was divided into seven sections: knitting; packing; drying; dyeing; and boarding (giving shape). The large street-level knitting room housed the knitting machines as well as the looping and trimming equipment. Looping machines secured the toe of the stocking and trimmers cut the loose threads. The heels, however, were trimmed by hand. In the basement the gray goods (undyed hosiery) were stored, dyed and boarded. Each sock or stocking was boarded by stretching it over a cardboard form.

In 1914 Shamrock became Hanes Hosiery Mills, and in 1918 the firm converted to ladies' cotton—later rayon—hosiery. By 1926 Hanes had outgrown the Marshall St. plant and moved to a larger one on W. 14th St. They experienced dramatic growth in the 1930s with the development of nylon, producing the first seamless stockings in the branded retail market by using circular knitting machines. Today the firm is one of the world's largest hosiery producers.

The 1911 structure now stands vacant and is up for sale. The Historic Preservation Fund of N.C., Inc. currently is preparing a Nat'l Register nomination for the building. G.S.T.



CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS ISSUE

Q

Field Curry, Pittsburgh; Bernard A. Drew, Housatonic, Mass.; Robert M. Frame III, Minn. Hist. Soc.; Stephen A. Otto, Ontario Heritage Fndn.; Theodore A. Sande, Natl. Trust for Hist. Preservation; Peter H. Stott, Columbia Univ.; Gwynne S. Taylor, Historic Preservation Fund of N.C. With thanks.

### THE UNUSUAL IRON-BRIDGE DESIGN OF THE BI-MONTH

Under the above heading—which we introduce with some hesitation but can't think of a catchier one—we propose regularly to publish accounts of surviving examples of some of the more obsture designs for iron truss bridges that abounded in the late 19thC. The majority, if not all, of these were highly localized and are not apt to be found much beyond their area of origin. Perhaps the most interesting of this family are the bridges formed of sections not intended for structural work: today tubing; next issue railroad rails.

### BALL'S IRON-PIPE BRIDGES

Charles H. Ball (1861-1928) built rubular truss bridges in a small shop in E. Windsor (Berkshire Co.), Mass., in the 1890s. He grew up on a farm outside the village, and worked for a number of years as a mechanical engineer with the Stevens Mfg. Co. of Cummington, a maker of wooden brush handles and pencils.

Ball became a partner in a machine shop in E. Windsor c1888. A few years later he began to manufacture and market iron bridges. Several were sold to neighboring towns in western Mass. and southern Vermont. Two of the bridges were erected in Windsor they cost \$200 each—but one was destroyed, apparently in a turbulent flood in 1938. Presumably other Ball structures met similar fates, as only four are known to exist today.



The Ball "tubular" truss bridge at Cummington, Mass. Donna Drew photograph.

Ball described his structures in a sales pamphlet: "To meet the demand for a low-priced iron bridge having all the important qualifications of strength and durability found in the best iron bridges now made, I have perfected a pipe truss bridge which is shown in the sketches. The question of artistic or architectural effect are not considered in planning this bridge. The main point being to produce a strong cheap bridge that will last as long as any iron bridge and cost but little, if any, more than a good wooden bridge.

"The pipes used are not the ordinary gas or steam pipes found in the market, but are heavier and are made for special purposes requiring great strength. The beams and floor joists are of steel and the rods and bars of best double-refined iron. Sidewalks will be added when desired. Please send dimensions of bridge needed and estimated cost will be furnished by letter, or in person . ..."

Here are brief descriptions of the surviving Ball bridges. All except Cummington's are in Berkshire Co.:

The Dalton bridge spans 41 ft. and is the longest. An 1895 town report indicates the cost was \$386 for the bridge, \$472.06 for the substructure and railing. This is the only structure still bearing the maker's plaque, which reads: "Built 1894 by C.H. Ball, East Windsor, Mass. Patented July 25, 1893. S.L. Young, F.L. Warren, G.T. Pike, Selectmen of Dalton, Mass." [UTM: Pittsfield East 530051.]

- The 34-ft. Hinsdale bridge has no railing or other accessories; is located near the source of the Housatonic River. [Peru 556953.]

Cummington's (Hampshire Co.) 28-ft. triangular bridge was moved to its present site in 1924. [Worthington 721050.]

Windsor's 31-ft. span was constructed of pipe smaller than the other examples (5.75" vs. 6.75" diam.), and has a railing on one side. [Plainfield 648106.]

Bridge building apparently was not a profitable venture, for Ball purchased a portable sawmill in 1895 and began a woodworking business which thrived until his death. B.A.D. MHC INVENTORY FORM CONTINUATION SHEET -- MHC Inventory scanning project, 2008-2012 (C-2(-26)

) MACRIS No.

CUM.904



NURTH ELEV.



View of W Abutment and Aporbeam to hanger connection from South side



VIEW OF BRIDGE FROM E. APPROACH



View of West abutment from N side Photos: MARTIN GLENDON, Kug. 1987



View toward E Abutment, Showing truss Connections. Photo: MARTIN GLENDON, August 1987





CUM.904



## CUM .904

for companison -

\$ some photos of the unaltered gueenrod Ball truss, W-41-11

