

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

Massachusetts [25] Franklin County [011] Erving [21780] JCT ST 2 & CONN RIVER 42-35-49 = 42.596944 072-29-45 = - 72.495833

E100140UCDOTNBI Highway agency district 2 Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]

Route 2 ST 2 MOHAWK TRAIL Toll On free road [3] Features intersected COMB RIVER RD&CONN RIV

Design - main Steel continuous [4] Design - approach Concrete continuous [2] Kilometerpoint 9039.4 km = 5604.4 mi  
 3 Arch - Deck [11] 3 Tee beam [04] Year built 1931 Year reconstructed 1992  
 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  
 Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]

Total length 239.6 m = 786.1 ft Length of maximum span 140.2 m = 460.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 14.6 m = 47.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 12.2 m = 40.0 ft

Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 12.2 m = 40.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft

Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]

Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or similar additive [3]

Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]

Type of membrane/wearing surface

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length 2.4 km = 1.5 mi Method to determine inventory rating Load Factor(LF) [1] Inventory rating 19.5 metric ton = 21.5 tons

Method to determine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1] Operating rating 32.5 metric ton = 35.8 tons

Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	9000	Average daily truck traffi	10	%	Year	2007	Future average daily traffic	14214	Year	2032
Road classification	Other Principal Arterial (Urban) [14]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	12.2 m = 40.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Highway-waterway [6]		Lanes under structure	2		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft				Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Highway beneath structure [H]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	1.1 m = 3.6 ft				Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	7.8 m = 25.6 ft		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Highway beneath structure [H]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by		Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost	8084000	Roadway improvement cost	809000						
	Length of structure improvement	240 m = 787.4 ft		Total project cost	12127000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2012								
	Border bridge - state				Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number									

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	52.9
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	June 2011 [0611]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date	June 2011 [0611]
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	