The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-38-32 =	085-43-18 = -
Michigan [26] Allegan County [005]		Hopkins [39200] APPROX 1/4 MI		II N OF 130TH		42.642222	85.721667	
03200078000B010 Highway agency district 5			Owner County Highway	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	Agency [02]
Route 371 18TH STREET			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features interse	cted RABBIT RIV	/ER	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Stringer	Multi-beam or girder [(Design - approach D2] 0 Other	r [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1929 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000] he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 13.7 m = 44.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.7 m = 25.3 ft Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place			Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
		rmine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	24.7 metric ton	= 27.2 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to dete	Method to determine operating rating			Operating rating 41.2 metric ton = 45.3 tons			
	Bridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 % belo	ow [2]		Design Load MS	5 18+Mod / HS 20	+Mod [6]	

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 1984 Average daily to	uck traffi 7 % Year 2003 Future average daily traffic 3968 Year 2023							
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 10.4 m = 34.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck Satisfactory [6]		deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour criti	ical; bridge foundations determined t	to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is I channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better to in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Status evaluation							
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 63.2						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings	Inj	pected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	In _I	pected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail In	pected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	pected feature meets currently acce	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date November 2009 [1109] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspec	ection date						