

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Michigan [26] | Allegan County [005] | Lee [46600] | JUST N OF 104TH AVE | 42-26-56 = 42.448889 | 086-05-24 = - 86.090000 |
| 03200055000B010 | Highway agency district: 5 | Owner County Highway Agency [02] | Maintenance responsibility | County Highway Agency [02] | |
| Route 325 | 56TH STREET | Toll On free road [3] | Features intersected | S BR OF BLACK RIVER | |
| Design - main Steel [3] | Design - approach | Kilometerpoint 175.3 km = 108.7 mi | Year built 1931 | Year reconstructed N/A [0000] | |
| 1 Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02] | 0 Other [00] | Skew angle 0 | Structure Flared | | |
| | | Historical significance | Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] | | |
| Total length 13.7 m = 44.9 ft | Length of maximum span 13.1 m = 43.0 ft | Deck width, out-to-out 8.6 m = 28.2 ft | Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb | 6.7 m = 22.0 ft | |
| Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.7 m = 22.0 ft | Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.5 m = 1.6 ft | Curb or sidewalk width - right | 0.5 m = 1.6 ft | | |
| Deck structure type | Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface | Bituminous [6] | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface | | | | | |

Weight Limits

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Bypass, detour length 0.6 km = 0.4 mi | Method to determine inventory rating | Load Factor(LF) [1] | Inventory rating | 24.7 metric ton = 27.2 tons |
| | Method to determine operating rating | Load Factor(LF) [1] | Operating rating | 41.3 metric ton = 45.4 tons |
| Bridge posting | | Design Load | M 13.5 / H 15 [2] | |

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic Average daily truck traffi % Year Future average daily traffic Year

Road classification Lanes on structure Approach roadway width

Type of service on bridge Direction of traffic Bridge median

Parallel structure designation

Type of service under bridge Lanes under structure Navigation control

Navigation vertical clearanc Navigation horizontal clearance

Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway

Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature

Minimum lateral underclearance on right Minimum lateral underclearance on left

Minimum Vertical Underclearance Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature

Appraisal ratings - underclearances

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed

Work done by

Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost

Length of structure improvement Total project cost

Year of improvement cost estimate

Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state

Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency

| | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Structure status | Posted for load [P] | Appraisal ratings - structural | Equal to present minimum criteria [6] |
| Condition ratings - superstructure | Satisfactory [6] | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Satisfactory [6] | Appraisal ratings - deck geometry | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3] |
| Condition ratings - deck | Fair [5] | | |
| Scour | Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4] | | |
| Channel and channel protection | Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5] | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequacy | Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] | Status evaluation | Functionally obsolete [2] |
| Pier or abutment protection | | Sufficiency rating | 67.5 |
| Culverts | Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] | | |
| Traffic safety features - transitions | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends | | | |
| Inspection date | November 2007 [1107] | Designated inspection frequency | 24 Months |
| Underwater inspection | Not needed [N] | Underwater inspection date | |
| Fracture critical inspection | Not needed [N] | Fracture critical inspection date | |
| Other special inspection | Not needed [N] | Other special inspection date | |