The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-56-37 =	083-16-22 = -
Michigan [26]	Huron County [063]	Caseville [13760]	IN CASEVILLE			43.943611	83.272778
32132012000B020	Highway age	ncy district 4	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance res	ponsibility	State Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 25	M-2	5	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersected	PIGEON R		
Design - steel [3] main 2 Stringer/Mu	ulti-beam or girder [C	Design - approach 2] O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 172 Year built 1929 Skew angle 15	Year recons	structed N/A [0000]	
				Historical significance	Bridge is no	t eligible for th	e NRHP. [5]	
Total length 24.3 m	= 79.7 ft L	ength of maximum sp	an 12.2 m = 40.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	17 m = 55.8 ft	Bridge road	way width, curb-to-c	urb 12.2 m = 40.0 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearan	ce 15.8 m = 51.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	ridth - left 1.8 m = 5.9) ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	1.8 m = 5.9 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface	ce	Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	n Method to dete	mine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS	5) [2] Inve	entory rating 20	.9 metric ton =	23.0 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to dete	mine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS	S) [2] Ope	erating rating 51	.8 metric ton =	57.0 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Des	sign Load M 13.5	/ H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 6500 Average daily to	ruck traffi 3 % Year 1995 Future average daily traffi	ic 2474 Year 2015						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical cle	earance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral under	rclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway	improvement cost 0						
widening. [67]	Length of structure improvement 24.4 m = 80.1 ft	Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 1994							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no r	estriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructu	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; b	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequ	Equal to present minimu	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection no	Navigation protection not required [1]		Sufficiency rating	47.4			
	d if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transiti								
Traffic safety features - approa								
Traffic safety features - approa			1					
Inspection date July 2001	inspection frequency 15		onths					
Underwater inspection		Underwater inspec		July 2000 [0700]			
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	•					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe						