The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation									42-42-28 =	082-32-01 = -
Michigan [26] St. Clair County [147]		Cottrell	Cottrellville [18400] SEC 10 COTTRE		OTTRELLV	ELLVILLE TWP		42.707778	82.533611		
77200009000B010 Highway agency district 7			Owner	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	agency [02]			
Route 7771 CHARTIER ROAD				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected MARINE CI			TY DREDGE CUT				
main	Concrete [1] Girder and flo	oorbeam system [03	Design - approach 0 Ot	her [00]		Kilometerp Year built Skew ang Historical	1922	Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000] ne NRHP. [5]	
Total length 10.9 m = 35.8 ft Length of maximum span 9.7 m = 31.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.7 m = 25.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft											
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place			Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]											
Type of me	ection embrane/wea	ring surface									
Weight Li	mits										
7.	detour length	Method to determ	ine inventory rat	ing	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		In	entory rating	18.2 metric ton :	= 20.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0	U.2 MI	Method to determ	ting	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		O	Operating rating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons				
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]						Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]					

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 2800 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 1994 Future average daily traffic	c 3450 Year 2014						
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance [0 = N/A] Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danair and Danisasment Diana								
Repair and Replacement Plans	Ward, dans by Ward, to be dans by santos t [1]							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 450000 Roadway i	improvement cost 150000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 91.4 m = 299.9 ft	Total project cost 600000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2006							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum crit	eria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations d	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is be channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present mir	nimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	48.6				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpe	ected feature meets currently acce	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpe	ected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approact	n guardrail Inpe	ected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approac	n guardrail ends Inpe	ected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date April 2008 [0408] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						