## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							46-46-29 =	089-10-40 = -
Michigan [26] Gogebic County [053]		Watersmeet [84360] VILLAGE OF WATERSMEET			46.774722	89.177778		
Highway agency district 1		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	agency [02]	
Route 0	oute 0 CROZER STREET		Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected MIDDLE BR			R ONTONAGON RIV	
Design - Concrete main  1 Girder ar	[1] d floorbeam system	Design - approach  [03] 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 9 I Year built 1919 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure F	constructed lared s not eligible for t	the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 10.6 i	n = 34.8 ft	Length of maximum sp	oan 10.3 m = 33.8 ft	Deck width, out-to-c	out 6.9 m = 22.6	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-o	curb 5.5 m = 18.0 ft
Inventory Route, To	tal Horizontal Cleara	ance $5.6 \text{ m} = 18.4 \text{ ft}$	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left $0 m = 0.0$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	nce [1]					
Type of wearing sui	face	Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/	wearing surface							
Weight Limits								
		termine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS)	) [2] Inv	entory rating	5.5 metric ton =	6.1 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to de	termine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS)	) [2] Op	perating rating	11.5 metric ton	= 12.7 tons	
Bridge posting				De	esign Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 395 Average daily tra	uck traffi 0 % Year 1998 Future average daily traffic 395 Year 2018
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 178000 Roadway improvement cost 18000
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 12.7 m = 41.7 ft Total project cost 245000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural							
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place a is [5]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Imminent Failure [1]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]								
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cr	iteria [6]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection				16.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date September 2010 [0910] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Other special inspection date							