

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Michigan [26] Keweenaw County [083] Unknown [00000] IN EAGLE RIVER

42304A19011B010 Highway agency district 1 Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]

Route 0 WEST MAIN Toll On free road [3] Features intersected EAGLE RIVER

Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Other [00] Kilometerpoint Year built 1909 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]

1 Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other [00] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]

Total length 28 m = 91.9 ft Length of maximum span 24.4 m = 80.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.5 m = 18.0 ft

Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.4 m = 17.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft

Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]

Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]

Deck protection

Type of membrane/wearing surface

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine inventory rating Inventory rating 0 metric ton = 0.0 tons

Method to determine operating rating Operating rating 3.4 metric ton = 3.7 tons

Bridge posting Design Load

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	20	Average daily truck traffi	%	Year	1987	Future average daily traffic	35	Year	2007
Road classification	Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure	2	Approach roadway width	7.9 m = 25.9 ft				
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]	Direction of traffic	One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure	0	Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge		Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]								

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	173000	Roadway improvement cost	17000			
	Length of structure improvement	27.4 m = 89.9 ft	Total project cost	203000			
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	<input type="text" value="Posted for load [P]"/>	Appraisal ratings - structural	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]"/>
Condition ratings - superstructure	<input type="text" value="Critical [2]"/>	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]"/>
Condition ratings - substructure	<input type="text" value="Poor [4]"/>	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	<input type="text" value="N/A [N]"/>
Condition ratings - deck	<input type="text" value="Critical [2]"/>		
Scour	<input type="text" value="Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]"/>		
Channel and channel protection	<input type="text" value="Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]"/>		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	<input type="text" value="Superior to present desirable criteria [9]"/>	Status evaluation	<input type="text" value="Structurally deficient [1]"/>
Pier or abutment protection	<input type="text"/>	Sufficiency rating	<input type="text" value="27"/>
Culverts	<input type="text" value="Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]"/>		
Traffic safety features - railings	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - transitions	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	<input type="text"/>		
Inspection date	<input type="text" value="April 1990 [0490]"/>	Designated inspection frequency	<input type="text" value="24"/> Months
Underwater inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Underwater inspection date	<input type="text"/>
Fracture critical inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Fracture critical inspection date	<input type="text"/>
Other special inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Other special inspection date	<input type="text"/>