The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-33-20 =	086-12-20 = -
Michigan [26]	ichigan [26] Oceana County [127]		Otto [61780] 7.0 MI E NEW ER				43.555556	86.205556
64200004000B010 Highway agency district: 3		Owner County Highway	ner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	gency [02]		
Route 0 GARFIELD ROAD			Toll On fre	e road [3]	eatures intersed	ted N BR WHIT	E RIVER	
Design - main Prestressed concrete [5] Design - approach Box beam or girders - Multiple 0 Oth		approach	[00]	Kilometerpoint 956Year built 1958Skew angle 20Historical significance	Structure F	constructed N/A		
Total length 27.4 m = 89.9 ft Length of maximum span 13.7 m = 44.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.4 m = 34.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 8.8 m = 28.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.7 m = 31.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft								
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place		ce [1]						
Type of wearing surface		Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ratir		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating	36 metric ton =	39.6 tons		
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Оре	erating rating	ng rating 61 metric ton = 67.1 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			egal loads [5]	Des	sign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 720 Average daily tru	ck traffi 0 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic	1000 Year 2025						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 9.8 m = 32.2 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference fe	eature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway in	mprovement cost						
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Bo	order bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limit	s to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable crit	eria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour		Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	63.7				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	t. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	npected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail I	npected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	Underwater inspection date					
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						