HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information Michigan [26]	Newaygo County [123)]	Denver [21700]	4.2 MI NE HESPI	ERIA		43-34-59 = 43.583056	085-58-47 = - 85.979722
62200046000B070 Highway agency district: 3		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	gency [02]		
Route 6215	GREEN AV		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected WHITE RIV		/ER			
Design - Main Steel [3] Stringer/Mu	lti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1957 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000] the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 24.3 m =	= 79.7 ft Leng	gth of maximum spa	n 12.2 m = 40.0 ft		-to-out 8.9 m = 29.2		dway width, curb-to-c	curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	8.2 m = 26.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	ridth - left 0 m =	0.0 ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Co	ncrete Cast-in-Plac	e [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (c			concurrently placed with str	uctural deck) [1]				
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	25.5 metric ton	= 28.1 tons	
1.1 km = 0.7 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	42.5 metric ton = 46.8 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load M	18 / H 20 [4]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 800 Average daily tru	uck traffi 0 % Year 2000 Future average daily traffic 1000 Year 2020							
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.4 m = 30.8 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum of	criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erodechannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	48.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends								
Inspection date May 2008 [0508] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date									
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						