The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information										42-57-20 =	084-18-05 = -
Michigan [26]	Shiawas	Shiawassee County [155]			Middlebury [53680] 3.4 M NW OF BE			NGTON		42.955556	84.301389
76200027000B020 Highway agency district 6			Own	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway	Agency [02]			
Route 0 HIBBARD ROAD				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BEAR CRE				EK			
		Design - approach	Other [00] Ye.		Year built	Kilometerpoint 564.6 km = 350.1 mi  Year built 1927 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]  Skew angle 25 Structure Flared  Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]					
Total length 10.6 m = 34.8 ft Length of maximum span 9.7 m = 31.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.2 m = 26.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7 m = 23.0 ft											
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.1 m = 23.3 ft  Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place			J								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]											
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/	vearing surf	face									
Weight Limits											
Bypass, detour length 0.5 km = 0.3 mi	sypass, detour length  .5 km = 0.3 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating			_				ventory rating perating rating	7.3 metric ton = 9 metric ton = 9		
Bridge posting							De	esign Load M	S 18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 976 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 1451 Year 2026							
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
D : 10 1 10								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 260000 Roadway improvement cost 150000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Total project cost 410000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2006							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring h	high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Critical [2]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum of	criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Critical [2]	deck geometry							
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation h	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erodechannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	14.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date October 2009 [1009] Designated inspection frequency 6 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						