The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation										42-22-11 =	083-26-50 = -
Michigan [26] Wayne County [16			[163]	3]		Plymouth [65080]		PLYMOUTH TWP W/HAGGERTY			42.369722	83.447222
82200069000B030 Highway agency district			rict 7	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenand			eresponsibility	County Highway Agency [02]				
Route 2057 E N HINES DRIVE					Toll On fre	ee road [3]		eatures interse	cted MIDDLE RC	OUGE RIVER		
Design - main Concrete continuous [2] Design - approach Tee beam [04] 0 Other			Kilometerpoint 405.4 km = 251.3 mi Year built 1932 Year reconstructed 1977 er [00] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]									
Total length 30.4 m = 99.7 ft Length of maximum span 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 15.8 m = 51.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 10.7 m = 35.1 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 12.5 m = 41.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.7 m = 8.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.3 m = 4.3 ft												
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place												
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (sep Deck protection				eparate non-	modified layer o	of concrete a	dded to str	uctural deck) [2]				
Type of membrane/wearing surface												
Weight Li	imits											
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory in			ventory rating	ating Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			In	ventory rating	40.9 metric ton =	= 45.0 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating			g Allov	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		0	perating rating	55.5 metric ton =	= 61.1 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above le				legal loads [jal loads [5]		De	Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 7192 Average daily to	ruck traffi 0 % Year 1996 Future average daily traffic 6868 Year 2015						
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 14.6 m = 47.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5] Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundatio	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to presen	t desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 80.7						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date June 2009 [0		ignated inspection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe							
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						