The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-22-12 =	083-25-58 = -
Michigan [26] Wayne County [163]		Plymouth [65080] PLYMOUTH TWP E/I-		E/I-275		42.370000	83.432778	
82200069000B040 Highway agency district 7		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		responsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]		
Route 2057	EN	HINES DRIVE	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features intersec	cted MIDDLE ROU	JGE RIVER	
Design - main Concrete continuous [2] Design - approach Tee beam [04] 0 Other		Kilometerpoint 526.3 km = 326.3 mi Year built 1932 Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]						
Total length 30.4 m =		ength of maximum space 12.5 m = 41.0 ft	an 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Curb or sidewalk wi		to-out 15.8 m = 51.8		vay width, curb-to-c	2.5 m = 8.2 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 12.5 m = 41.0 ft Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place				1.4 III	– 4.0 II	Curb or sidew	raik width - fight	2.3 111 – 0.2 11
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (se		parate non-modified layer of	concrete added to	structural deck) [2]				
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rating	40.9 metric ton =	45.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating	55.5 metric ton =	61.1 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]		Design Load M 1	8 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 7365 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 0 % Year 1996 Future average daily traffic 7028 Year 2015							
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Scour calculation	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequate	Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 79.7					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approact	h guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date June 2007 [0	0607] Des	ignated inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					