The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information	l						00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -	
Michigan [26]	lichigan [26] Ingham County [065]		Leroy [47080] 4.6 MILES SE O		OF WILLIAMST		0.000000	0.000000	
33200026000B020 Highway agency district 6		Owner County Highway	wner County Highway Agency [02] Mai		responsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]		
Route 0 HOLT ROAD			Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected DOAN CRE			EK		
main	ssed concrete [5] m or girders - Multipl	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1957 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure F		[0000] ne NRHP. [5]		
Total length 14 m = 45.9 ft Length of maximum span 14 m = 45.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft		Curb or sidewalk wi	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.4 m = 1.3 ft Curb or s		Curb or side	walk width - right	0.4 m = 1.3 ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place		ce [1]							
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		Bituminous [6]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane	/wearing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length 0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine inventory ratin Method to determine operating ratin		ermine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inve	entory rating	20 metric ton = 2	22.0 tons		
		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		erating rating	g rating 53.6 metric ton = 59.0 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Des	Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 790 Average daily truck	k traffi 0 % Year 1994 Future average daily traffic 950 Year 2015								
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure e	exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feat	:ure not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimite	ed Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
Unknown [00]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost								
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost								
,	Year of improvement cost estimate 0								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 0								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	ondition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Equal to present desirable crite	eria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	ey Equal to present desirable cri	iteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection				61.5					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date July 1997 [0797] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N24]	Underwater inspection date							
•	Unknown [N24]								
Other special inspection	Unknown [N24]	Other special insp	ection date						