HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inf	formation							43-08-38.54 =	082-49-06.10
Michigan	ı [26]	St. Clair County [14	47]	Brockway [10820]	SEC. 3-10 BROCK	WAY TWP		43.144039	= -82.818361
10121		Highway age	ncy district: 4	Owner County Highwa	y Agency [02]	Maintenance i	responsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 0)	JED	DO ROAD	Toll On fr	ee road [3]	Features intersect	ed MILL CREE	K	
Design - main	Steel [3] Stringer/Mu	ulti-beam or girder [0	Design - approach 2] 0 Othe	ır [00]	Kilometerpoint 1 Year built 1940 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Fla	onstructed 199		
		= 62.7 ft L	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	Curb or sidewalk v	Deck width, out-to	-out 8.8 m = 28.9 f	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	7.3 m = 24.0 ft $0.8 m = 2.6 ft$
Deck prot		earing surface	Bituminous [6]						
Weight L Bypass, 0.6 km =	detour lengt	Wicthou to deter	rmine inventory ratinç rmine operating rating 10.0 - 19.9 % bel	g Load Factor (LF) ra	ting reported by rati	Operating rating	23.7 metric ton 39.5 metric ton 3 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 138 Average daily to	ruck traffi 19 % Year 2016 Future average da	aily traffic 204 Year 2036						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation	control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0	= N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unli	mited Minimum latera	al underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance ref	ference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danair and Danlacement Plans								
Repair and Replacement Plans	Wash days by Wash to be done by contract [1]							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37]	Bridge improvement cost 305000000 Ro	padway improvement cost 147000000						
3.10 1	Length of structure improvement 62.5 m = 205.1 ft	Total project cost 452000000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2016							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficien	псу						
Structure status Bridg	ge closed to al	I traffic [K]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			
Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		sfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7] Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]			
Condition ratings - substructure Goo		d [7]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry				
Condition ratings - deck							
Scour		Bridge foundati	ons determined to be stable for assess	ed or calculated scour co	ndition. [5]		
Channel and channel pro	tection		n is in need of minor repairs. River con thannel have minor amounts of drift. [7]		nent protection have a	a little minor damage.	
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Somewhat bett in place as is [5]	what better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left e as is [5]			y deficient [1]	
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency	y rating 75.1		
Culverts Not applicable	e. Used if struc	cture is not a culv	vert. [N]				
Traffic safety features - r	railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - transitions			Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
T (C) (approach guar	drail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - a	2017 [0417]	De	signated inspection frequency 24	Months			
	12017 [0417]			ation data			
		eeded [N]	Underwater inspe	ection date			
Inspection date April	Not ne	eeded [N]	Underwater inspe				