HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-09-17.51 =	082-30-21.14
Michigan [26] St. Clair County [147]			Burtchville [12020] SEC.		SEC. 6-7 BURCTHVILLE TWP.			= -82.505872
10079	Highway agency	district: 4	Owner County Highwa	y Agency [02]	Maintenance	responsibility	County Highway Aç	jency [02]
Route 0	JEDDC	ROAD	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersed	ted BURTCH C	REEK	
Design - Steel [3] main Stringer/Mi	ulti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach Other	er [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1957 Skew angle 0	3862.9 km = 2395. Year red Structure F	constructed		
				Historical significan	ce Bridge i	s not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 15.2 m	= 49.9 ft Leng	th of maximum s	pan 13.9 m = 45.6 ft	Deck width, out-to	o-out $7.3 \text{ m} = 24.0$	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	5.8 m = 19.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	l Horizontal Clearance	5.9 m = 19.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	ridth - left 0 m = 0	.0 ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Со	ncrete Cast-in-Pl	ace [1]					
Type of wearing surfa	Biti	uminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to determin	ne inventory rating	g Load Factor (LF) rat	ing reported by rati	Inventory rating	24 metric ton =	26.4 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine	ne operating ratin	g Load Factor (LF) rat	ing reported by rati	Operating rating	40.2 metric ton	= 44.2 tons	
	Bridge posting E	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]		Design Load M 1	8 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 450 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 5 % Year 1994	Future average daily traffic	810 Year	2014				
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway	width $9.1 \text{ m} = 29.9 \text{ ft}$				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - wa	y traffic [2]	Bridge med	dian				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]		,					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by c	ontract [1]						
Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37]	Bridge improvement cost 312000	Roadway imp	provement cost 580	000				
macrimig. [o7]	Length of structure improvement	15.2 m = 49.9 ft	otal project cost 370	0000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Bor	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	ndition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]							
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	igh priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than minim in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate bein place as is [5]		tatus evaluation	valuation Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection			S	ufficiency rating	69			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Inspection date October 201		ection frequency 24	Mon	iths				
·	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	•					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date					