The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information									43-26-13 =	084-30-53 = -
Michigan [26] Gı	ratiot County [057]	57] Be		ethany [08020]		SEC 11-14 BETHANY TWP			43.436944	84.514722
29200034000B010 Highway agency district 4			Owner	wner County Highway Agency [02]			Maintenance	eresponsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 0 JEFFERSON ROAD Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BUSH CREEK DRAIN										
Design - Main Concrete [1] Slab [01]		Design - approach O Other	er [00]		Year built Skew angle Historical s	1925	Structure F	constructed N/A		
Total length 11.2 m = 36.7 ft Length of maximum span 9.7 m = 31.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.2 m = 20.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft										
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5 m = 16.4 ft			Cu	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0		0 m = 0.0) ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]										
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete ((concurrentl	oncurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection										
Type of membrane/wearing	ng surface									
Weight Limits										
Bypass, detour length 0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Inventory rating 24.5 metric ton = 27.0 tor Operating rating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tor				
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % be	low [3]			D	esign Load MS	5 18+Mod / HS 20	+Mod [6]	

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 40 Average daily tr	ck traffi 0 % Year 2003 Future average daily traffic	53 Year 2023					
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7 m = 23.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	ge Minimum vertical cleara	ance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feat	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 130000 Roadway imp	provement cost 20000					
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 16.8 m = 55.1 ft To	otal project cost 197000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Bor	rder bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable lim	its to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - substructure Serious [3]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" founda	Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	27.2				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends							
Inspection date May 2009 [0509] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	ection date						