## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-22-38 =	086-25-22 = -
Michigan [26]	Muskegon County [121]		White River [86980]	0.1 MI W OF LAU RD	OF LAU RD		43.377222	86.422778
61317A00034B010	Highway agen	cy district 3	Owner County Highway	Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		gency [02]	
Route 0	LIFE	GUARD ROAD	Toll On fre	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersect	ed SADONY BA	YOU	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Stringer/Mu	ılti-beam or girder [02	Design - approach ] 0 Other [	[00]	Kilometerpoint3.7Year built#Num!Skew angle0Historical significance	Structure Fla	onstructed N/A ( ared	·	
Total length       9.4 m = 30.8 ft       Length of maximum span       8.2 m = 26.9 ft       Deck width, out-to-out       6.7 m = 22.0 ft       Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb       5.5 m = 18.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Tota Deck structure type	entory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.4 m = 17.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft							
Type of wearing surface     Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengtl	n Method to deterr	nine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating	44.9 metric ton =	49.4 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to deterr	nine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	erating rating	74.9 metric ton =	82.4 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above leg	gal loads [5]	Des	sign Load MS 1	18+Mod / HS 20+	-Mod [6]	

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 440 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2004 Future average daily traff	fic 722 Year 2024					
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structur	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearance         0 = N/A         Navigation horizontal clearance         0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge       Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway       99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance         0 = N/A         Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature         Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
Type of work to be performed							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state         Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry						
Scour	Countermeasures have beer	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. minor stream bed movement				espread minor damage. There is			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	cy Equal to present desirable co	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	46.9			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	IS							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date November 20	009 [1109] Designated insp	ection frequency 12	N	lonths				
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical in	spection date	<u>}</u>				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					