The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information | | | | | | | | | 42-32-13 = | 085-39-35 = - |
|---|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Michigan [26] | Allegan County [005] | | Martin [52000] | | 6.0 MI N OF PLAINWELL | | | 42.536944 | 85.659722 | |
| 03103112000S010 Highway agency district 5 | | | Owner Stat | Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility | | | State Highway A | gency [01] | | |
| Route 222 Toll On free | | | | | | ree road [3] Features intersected US-131 | | | | |
| Design - Prestressed main 4 Stringer/Mult | concrete [5] ti-beam or girder [02] | Design - approach 0 Other | r [00] | | Kilometerp Year built Skew angle Historical s | 1959 e 7 | Structure F | constructed N/A | | |
| Total length 57.3 m = 188.0 ft Length of maximum span 17.9 m = 58.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.9 m = 45.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 12.3 m = 40.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6] | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight Limits | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating | | Allowabl | Allowable Stress(AS) [2] | | Inv | entory rating | 56.4 metric ton = | = 62.0 tons | | |
| 1 km = 0.6 mi Method to determine operating rating | | | Allowabl | Allowable Stress(AS) [2] | | Ор | erating rating | 99.9 metric ton = | = 109.9 tons | |
| Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] | | | | | De | sign Load MS | 5 18 / HS 20 [5] | | | |

| Functional Details | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 5474 Average daily to | uck traffi 6 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 8369 Year 2018 | | | | | | |
| Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06] | Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 10.3 m = 33.8 ft | | | | | | |
| Type of service on bridge Highway [1] | Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median | | | | | | |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure | e exists. [N] | | | | | | |
| Type of service under bridge Highway, with or without | ut ped Lanes under structure 4 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N] | | | | | | |
| Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A | | | | | | | |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H] | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3 m = 9.8 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 4.6 m = 15.1 ft | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.88 m = 16.0 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H] | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | | | | | | | |
| Type of work to be performed | Work done by | | | | | | |
| | Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost | | | | | | |
| | Length of structure improvement Total project cost | | | | | | |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - structure number | | | | | | |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status Open, no res | striction [A] | Appraisal ratings - structural | Meets minimum tolerable limits | s to be left in place as is [4] | | | | |
| Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5] | | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | | | | | | |
| ondition ratings - substructure Poor [4] | | Appraisal ratings - | | m adequacy to tolerate being left in place as | | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck | Serious [3] | deck geometry | is [5] | | | | | |
| Scour | Bridge not over w | Bridge not over waterway. [N] | | | | | | |
| Channel and channel protection | Not applicable. [N | Not applicable. [N] | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequac | y N/A [N] | | Status evaluation | Structurally deficient [1] | | | | |
| Pier or abutment protection | | | Sufficiency rating | 61.7 | | | | |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used | if structure is not a culver | t. [N] | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | I | npected feature meets currently acce | ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transition | ns I | npected feature meets currently acce | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach | | Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach | n guardrail ends | ected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] | | | | | | |
| Inspection date June 2009 [0 | 0609] Desiç | gnated inspection frequency 12 | tion frequency 12 Months | | | | | |
| Underwater inspection | Not needed [N] | Underwater inspec | Underwater inspection date | | | | | |
| Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N] | | Fracture critical ins | Fracture critical inspection date | | | | | |
| Other special inspection | Not needed [N] | Other special inspecial | ection date | | | | | |