The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							45-57-42 =	086-14-58 = -
Michigan [26]	choolcraft County [1	53]	Manistique [50760]	IN MANISTIQUE			45.961667	86.249444
75175022000B010 Highway agency district 1		Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Ag	ency [01]		
Route 94	M-94		Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures interse	cted MANISTIQ	JE RIVER	
main approach		crete continuous [2]	Kilometerpoint 81 Year built 1918	8.5 km = 507.5 Year re	mi constructed 200	4		
5 Slab [01] 3 Tee I		Skew angle 0 Historical significa		Structure F Bridge	lared s not eligible for	he NRHP. [5]		
Total length 90.2 m = 295.9 ft Length of maximum span 13.4 m = 44.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 20.1 m = 65.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 12.8 m = 42.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 18.9 m = 62.0 ft Curb or si			Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $3 \text{ m} = 9.8$	ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	3 m = 9.8 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place			ace [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wear	ing surface Ot	her [9]						
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rational method to determine me		ne inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inv	entory rating	74.4 metric ton	= 81.8 tons	
19.8 km = 12.3 mi	Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Op	erating rating	ating rating 79.1 metric ton =	: 87.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					sign Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 10220 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 10633 Year 2018							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Description I Description I Discription								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37]	Bridge improvement cost 149000 Roadway improvement cost 15000							
maoning, [67]	Length of structure improvement 90.2 m = 295.9 ft Total project cost 175000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present de:	sirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 61					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpe	ected feature meets currently acce	reptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	Not	applicable or a safety feature is n	not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Not	applicable or a safety feature is n	not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Not	applicable or a safety feature is n	not required. [N]					
Inspection date October 200	9 [1009] Designa	ated inspection frequency 24	4 Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					