HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						43-51-04.12 =	083-59-10.59
Michigan [26]	Bay County [017]		Pinconning [64180]	0.2 MI S OF PINCONI	NING RD	43.851144	= -83.986275
708	Highway agency of	district: 4	Owner County Highwa	ay Agency [02]	Maintenance respo	nsibility County Highway A	agency [02]
Route 0	MACKIN	IAW RD	Toll On fi	ree road [3]	eatures intersected F	PINCONNING RIVER	
Design - Concrete [main Slab [01]		Design - approach 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 364 Year built 1925 Skew angle 0	46.3 km = 2260.7 mi Year reconstructure Flared	ucted	
				Historical significance	Bridge is not ϵ	eligible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 6.7 m =	22.0 ft Length	h of maximum sp	oan 6.1 m = 20.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-or	ut 8.6 m = 28.2 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-o	7.6 m = 24.9 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	7.6 m = 24.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ f}$	ft (Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Cond	crete Cast-in-Pla	ace [1]				
Type of wearing surfa	ce Bitur	minous [6]					
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to determine	e inventory rating	Load Factor (LF) ra	iting reported by rati Inv	entory rating 21.7	metric ton = 23.9 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to determine	e operating rating	Load Factor (LF) ra	ting reported by rati Op	erating rating 36.3	metric ton = 39.9 tons	
	Bridge posting 00	0.1 - 09.9 % bel	ow [4]	Des	sign Load M 13.5 / F	H 15 [2]	

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 676 Average daily to	ruck traffi 10 % Year 2000 Future average daily	traffic 1082 Year 2020						
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation co	ntrol						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N	N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unli	mited Minimum lateral u	nderclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance refere	ence feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Road	way improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]		Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]						
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" found	Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment prote debris are in the channel. [4]	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Meets minimum tolerable lim	nits to be left in place as is	Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 62.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transitions							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends						
Inspection date April 2018 [0418] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date				