HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-01-51 =	085-49-18 = -
Michigan [26]	Ottawa County [139]		Wright [88820]	MARNE3 MI E C	F 16TH		43.030833	85.821667
70200067000B010	Highway agen	cy district: 3	Owner County Highway	/ Agency [02]	Maintenance	responsibility	County Highway A	Agency [02]
Route 0	HAYE	S ST.	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersed	ted BRANCH C	F SAND CREEK	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Stringer/Mu	lti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1923 Skew angle 0 Historical significan	Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000] he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 10.3 m =	= 33.8 ft Ler	ngth of maximum sp	an 9.7 m = 31.8 ft	Deck width, out-to	o-out 11.2 m = 36.	7 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-	curb 10.7 m = 35.1 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	10.8 m = 35.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 0 m = 0	.0 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface	ce B	ituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determ	nine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	26.2 metric ton	= 28.8 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to determ	nine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	43.5 metric ton	= 47.9 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]		Design Load MS	18+Mod / HS 20)+Mod [6]	

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 2450 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 4420 Year 2025						
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no restric	tion [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Fa	air [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings -	Better than present minimum criteria [7] Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
ů	air [5]						
Condition ratings - deck Fa	nir [5]	deck geometry					
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation	n has not been made. [6]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump minor stream bed movemen		embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is ting the channel slightly. [6]				
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present desirable	criteria [8]	Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 76				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if st	ructure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transitions Inp		npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inc		npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends		pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date January 2009 [0109] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months							
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection No	eeded [N] Fracture critic		spection date				
Other special inspection No	needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date				