The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-17-19 =	083-20-56 = -
Michigan [26]	Wayne County [16	3]	Westland [86000]	WAYNE/WESTLA	NDN/MICHIGAN		42.288611	83.348889
82200155000B010 Highway agency district 7		Owner County Highwa	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 2057	ME	RRIMAN ROAD	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features interse	cted LOWER RC	OUGE RIVER	
Design - Concrete conmain 2 Tee beam [0]		Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1929 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed N/A		
Total length 32 m = 105.0 ft Length of maximum span 16.1 m = 52.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 18.1 m = 59.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 14 m = 45.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 17.2 m = 56.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.6 m = 5.2 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.6 m = 5.2 ft								
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (se			parate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]					
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfo		rcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine		rmine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS	S) [2]	Inventory rating	17.3 metric ton :	= 19.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to deter	rmine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS	S) [2]	Operating rating	23.6 metric ton :	= 26.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]				

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 26614 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 1997 Future average daily traffic 26000 Year 2015
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 18.9 m = 62.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $99.9 = Unline$	nited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable crit	eria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations dete	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Equal to present desira	ble criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	52.8					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpecte	cted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns Inpecte	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail Inpecte	ed feature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	eeded [N] Underwater inspec							
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date							