HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						42-19-01.60 =	083-09-45.24
Michigan [26]	Wayne County [16	3]	Dearborn [21000]	DEARBORN S/MIC	CHIGAN	42.317111	= -83.162567
12239	Highway age	ency district: 7	Owner County Highwa	y Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibilit	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 2057	MIL	LER ROAD	Toll On fro	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected CONRA	AIL	
Design - Steel [3] main 48 Stringer/M	ulti-beam or girder [(Design - approach D2] 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 345 Year built 1931 Skew angle 17	.4 km = 214.1 mi Year reconstructed Structure Flared	1982	
				Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible	for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 445.1 n	n = 1460.4 ft L	ength of maximum sp	nan 18.9 m = 62.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	21.9 m = 71.9 ft Bridge	e roadway width, curb-to-cu	18.9 m = 62.0 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearan	ce $21.3 \text{ m} = 69.9 \text{ ft}$	Curb or sidewalk w	vidth - left 2.1 m = 6.9	Oft Curb or	r sidewalk width - right	0.3 m = 1.0 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]							
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (co			(concurrently placed with st	ructural deck) [1]			
Deck protection Galvanized Reinforcing		ing [2]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to dete	rmine inventory rating	Load Factor (LF) rat	ting reported by rati Inve	entory rating 0 metric tor	n = 0.0 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rating Load Factor (L		Load Factor (LF) rat	ting reported by rati Ope	erating rating 0 metric tor	n = 0.0 tons		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]	Des	sign Load MS 18+Mod / H	S 20+Mod [6]	

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 23965 Average daily tr	uck traffi 8 % Year 1995 Fu	ture average daily traffic 22000 Year 2015					
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 6	Approach roadway width 26.5 m = 86.9 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tr	affic [2] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Railroad [2]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizont	al clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature R	ailroad beneath structure [R]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 11 m = 36.1	ft	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical und	erclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway improvement cost					
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, would temporary s	be posted or closed except for horing [D]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - superstructure	Critical [2]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Critical [2]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N]				
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	N/A [N]		Status evaluation			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected fea	ture meets currently accep	otable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - transitio	Inpected fea	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approac		pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends					
Inspection date October 202	3 1	. , ,	Months			
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec				
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date			

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-18-57.90 =	083-09-47.20
Michigan [26]	Wayne County [163]	Dearborn [21000]	DEARBORN S / MIC	CHIGAN AVE		42.316083	= -83.163111
12053	Highway ager	Highway agency district: 7 Owner County Highway		y Agency [02]	Maintenance	responsibility	County Highway Aç	gency [02]
Route 2057	ROT	UNDA DRIVE	Toll On fro	ee road [3]	Features intersec	ted OPEN ARE	Ā	
Design - Steel [3] main Stringer/Me	ulti-beam or girder [02	Design - approach	er [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 Year built 1931 Skew angle 0	0 km = 0.0 mi Year rec Structure Fl	constructed 198	3	
				Historical significand	ce Bridge is	not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 145.7 n	n = 478.0 ft Le	ngth of maximum	span 7.6 m = 24.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-	-out 24.3 m = 79.7	7 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 19.5 m = 64.0 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearanc	e 19.5 m = 64.0 f	Curb or sidewalk w	vidth - left 2 m = 6.	6 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	2 m = 6.6 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-P	lace [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (conc			e (concurrently placed with st	ructural deck) [1]				
Deck protection		Galvanized Reinfor	cing [2]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to determ	mine inventory ratir	Load Factor (LF) ra	ting reported by rati	nventory rating	0 metric ton = 0	.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determ	mine operating ratio	Load Factor (LF) rai	ting reported by rati	Operating rating	0 metric ton = 0	.0 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	e legal loads [5]		Design Load MS	18+Mod / HS 20)+Mod [6]	

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 8816 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 0 % Year 1992 Fu	ture average daily traffic 10138 Year 2012				
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 5	Approach roadway width 23.5 m = 77.1 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tr	affic [2] Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizont	al clearance 0 = N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical und	erclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by					
	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway improvement cost				
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost					
	Year of improvement cost estimate					
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, would temporary s	be posted or closed except for horing [D]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - superstructure		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	ondition ratings - substructure		Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N]				
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	cy N/A [N]		Status evaluation			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating			
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transitio						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inspection date October 2020 [1020] Designated inspection frequency 6 Months						
Inspection date October 2020 [1020] Designated inspection Not needed [N]		ection frequency 6 Underwater inspec				
Fracture critical inspection Every year [Y12]		Fracture critical ins				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp				
The second secon						