## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42 40 40 (1	004 4/ 02 / 0	
Michigan [26] Isabella County [073]			Isabella [41160]	0.2M S NE COR SEC 22 T15N			43-40-48.61 = 43.680169	084-46-03.69 = -84.767692	
4311 Highway agency district: 4		y district: 4	Owner County Highway	Wher County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 3767 MISSION ROAD			Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features intersect	ed LEWIS DRAI	N		
Design - Concrete [1 main 1 Tee beam [	-	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint7Year built1930Skew angle0Historical significance	Structure Fla	onstructed	e NRHP. [5]		
Total length $7 \text{ m} = 23$	3.0 ft Lenç	gth of maximum spa	an 6.1 m = 20.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-	out 11.8 m = 38.7	ft Bridge roadv	vay width, curb-to-cu	rb 11 m = 36.1 ft	
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	10.9 m = 35.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	) ft	Curb or sidev	valk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type	Сс	oncrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]						
Type of wearing surface	ce Bit	tuminous [6]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length	n Method to determine	ne inventory rating	Load Factor (LF) rati	ng reported by rati In	ventory rating	28.8 metric ton =	31.7 tons		
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to determi	ne operating rating	Load Factor (LF) rati	ng reported by rati O	perating rating	48 metric ton = 52	2.8 tons		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	gal loads [5]	D	esign Load M 13	3.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details     Average Daily Traffic   6140   Average daily truck traffi   0   %   Year   2002   Future average daily traffic   9120   Year   2022
Road classification   Major Collector (Rural) [07]   Lanes on structure   2   Approach roadway width   11 m = 36.1 ft
Type of service on bridge   Highway [1]   Direction of traffic   2 - way traffic [2]   Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge   Waterway [5]   Lanes under structure   0   Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearance   0 = N/A     Navigation horizontal clearance   0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge   Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway   99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]
Repair and Replacement Plans
Type of work to be performed Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substantial   Bridge improvement cost   100000   Roadway improvement cost   10000
bridge roadway geometry. [31] Length of structure improvement 12.2 m = 40.0 ft Total project cost 150000
Year of improvement cost estimate
Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Condition ratings - superstructure	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pro	ria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]								
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" found	Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U] Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Channel and channel protection									
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Better than present minimum	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection					63.5				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	S								
Traffic safety features - approach	nguardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date May 2018 [05	518] Designated insp	Мс	onths						
Underwater inspection	Underwater inspe	ection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						