## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-52-42 =	084-10-55 = -
Michigan [26] Shiawassee County [155]		Bennington [07280] 2 MILES NORTH C		MORRICE		42.878333	84.181944	
76200073000B010   Highway agence		y district 6	Owner County Highwa	y Agency [02]	Maintenance resp	nce responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		Agency [02]
Route 7643	MORF	NICE ROAD	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected	LOOKINGGLA	SS RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Stringer/M	lulti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint973Year built1952Skew angle0Historical significance	Structure Flared	tructed N/A [00		
Total length 19.8 m = 65.0 ft Length of maximum span 18.2 m = 59.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.8 m = 28.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7 m = 23.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft						Curb or sidewa	alk width - right	0.4 m = 1.3 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Plac					_			
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour leng	th Method to determi	ne inventory rating	Load and Resistance	ce Factor(LRFR) [3] Inve	entory rating 27	metric ton = 29.	7 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to determine	ne operating rating	Load and Resistance	e Factor(LRFR) [3] Ope	erating rating 37 i	metric ton = 40.	7 tons	
	Bridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 % belo	w [2]	Des	sign Load M 18 / H	1 20 [4]		

Functional Details				
Average Daily Traffic 1544 Average daily tr	uck traffi 15 % Year 1998 Fut	ture average daily traffic 27	30 Year 2018	
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway widt	h 7.9 m = 25.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tr	affic [2]	Bridge median	
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]			
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control		
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizont	al clearance 0 = N/A		
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge	Minimum vertical clearance	over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]			
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited	Minimum lateral underclearand	ce on left 0 = N/A	
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical und	lerclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway	or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]				
Repair and Replacement Plans				
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contr	act [1]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	ent of bridge or other structure because Bridge improvement cost 325000 Roadway improvement cost 33000			
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 2	5 m = 82.0 ft Total p	project cost 404000	
	Year of improvement cost estimate			
	Border bridge - state	Border I	oridge - percent respons	ibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]			praisal ratings - uctural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructur Good [7]			praisal ratings - adway alignment	Equal to p	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	A	ppraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	de	eck geometry	ometry				
Scour	Scour cald	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Better tha	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection					Sufficiency rating	73.4		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a	a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date March 2008 [0308] Designated inspec			frequency 24	1 N	lonths			
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]			Underwater insp	ection date				
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]			Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection		Other special inspection date						