HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2012 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						46-01-39 =	087-50-04 = -
Michigan [26] Dickinson County [043]		Felch [27660]	2 MI N OF FELCH		46.027500	87.834444	
2198 Highway agency		agency district 1	Owner County Highway	Agency [02] Maintena	Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		gency [02]
Route 2245 COUNTY HWY 581			Toll On fre	e road [3] Features inte	rsected E BR STURC	GEON RIVER	
Design - mainConcrete [1]Design - approach1Girder and floorbeam system [03]0		er [00]	Skew angle 0 Structure Flared		e NDHD [5]		
Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] Total length 10.6 m = 34.8 ft Length of maximum span 9.7 m = 31.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.1 m = 26.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.7 m = 22.0 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.7 m = 22.0 ft		Curb or sidewalk wi	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Cur		walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place			ace [1]				
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		Bituminous [6]					
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		g Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Inventory rating	29.1 metric ton =	32.0 tons		
5.3 km = 3.3 mi Method to determine operating rating			g Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Operating ratin	g 49.1 metric ton =	54.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above leg			legal loads [5]	Design Load			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 250 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 1997	Future average daily traffic 4	50 Year 2017	1			
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway widt	h 12.2 m = 40.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - v	vay traffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation ho	rizontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridg			99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans		[4]					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by	contract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 18300	0 Roadway improv	rement cost 19000				
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement	13 m = 42.7 ft Total	project cost 253000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	Appraisal structural	in runnigs	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]							I ratings - Equal Equal
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisa	al ratings - Meets	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geo					
Scour	Bridge is sco	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]					
Channel and channel protection		Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	sy Somewhat k in place as i	petter than minimum adequac s [5]	cy to tolerate being left	eft Status evaluation			
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating 69			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a d	culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets c	ted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transitior	IS	Inpected feature meets of	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets c	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets c	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date October 201	ction date October 2010 [1010] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months						
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Unde	erwater inspection date	August 2009 [0809]			
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fract	ture critical inspection	n date			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Othe	er special inspection da	date			