The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information 42-20-45 = 082-58-20 = - | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Michigan [26] Wayne | e County [163] | Detroit [22000] | BELLE ISLE | 42.345833 | 82.972222 | | |
| 825180814013B01 Highway agency district 7 | | Owner City or Municipa | Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility | | Highway Agency [04] | | |
| Route 0 OAKWAY Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CANOE STREAM | | | | | | | |
| Design - main Concrete [1] Frame [07] | Design - approach 0 Other | [00] | Skew angle 25 Structu | 9 mi ar reconstructed N/A [0000] ure Flared dge is on the NRHP. [1] | | | |
| Total length 8.5 m = 27.9 ft Length of maximum span 8.5 m = 27.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10 m = 32.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft | | | | | | | |
| Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft | | Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side | | Curb or sidewalk width - right | 0 m = 0.0 ft | | |
| Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place | | e [1] | | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6] | | | | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface | | | | | | | |
| Weight Limits | | | | | | | |
| Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating | | Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1] | Inventory ratin Operating ratir | | | | |
| Brid | dge posting | | Design Load | MS 18 / HS 20 [5] | | | |

| Functional Details | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 367 Average daily tr | uck traffi 1 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 660 Year 2025 | | | | | | |
| Road classification Local (Urban) [19] | Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft | | | | | | |
| Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5] | Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median | | | | | | |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure | e exists. [N] | | | | | | |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] | Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control | | | | | | |
| Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A | | | | | | | |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N] | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | | | | | | | |
| Type of work to be performed | Work done by Work to be done by contract [1] | | | | | | |
| Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35] | Bridge improvement cost 124000 Roadway improvement cost 65000 | | | | | | |
| actorioration of intadequate strongth. [50] | Length of structure improvement 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Total project cost 189000 | | | | | | |
| Year of improvement cost estimate 2006 | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - structure number | | | | | | |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status Posted for load [P] | | Appraisal ratings - structural | Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] | | | | |
| Condition ratings - superstructur | Satisfactory [6] | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | Equal to present minimum criteria [6] | | | | |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Fair [5] | Appraisal ratings - | Equal to present minimum criteria [6] | | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck | Satisfactory [6] | deck geometry | | | | | |
| Scour Bridge over "tidal" waters th | | has not been evaluated | for scour, but considered low risk. [T] | | | | |
| Channel and channel protection | Bank is beginning to slump. Find minor stream bed movement | Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6] | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequac | Equal to present minimum cri | iteria [6] | Status evaluation | | | | |
| Pier or abutment protection | | | Sufficiency rating 65.9 | | | | |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used | if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transition | IS | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach | n guardrail | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends | | | | | | | |
| Inspection date | | | | | | | |
| Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date | | | | | | | |
| Fracture critical inspection | Not needed [N] | Fracture critical ins | spection date | | | | |
| Other special inspection Not needed [N] Other special inspection date | | | | | | | |