HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-50-34.06 =	084-50-54.52
Michigan [26] Ionia County [067]		Danby [19720]	anby [19720] 1.4 MI NW OF CLINTON COL			42-50-54.00 =	= -84.848478	
4001 Highway agency district: 3		Owner State Highway A	State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 0 PEAKE RD			Toll On free	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersected	I-96		
Design - mainConcrete continuous [2]Design - approach4Tee beam [04]0Other		er [00]	Kilometerpoint401Year built1958Skew angle37	.1 km = 248.7 mi Year recons Structure Flared				
				Historical significance	Bridge is no	t eligible for the	NRHP. [5]	
Total length 91 m = 2	298.6 ft L	ength of maximum s	pan 27.4 m = 89.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	t 10.2 m = 33.5 ft	Bridge roadw	vay width, curb-to-cu	rb 7.9 m = 25.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearan	ce 9.4 m = 30.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left 0.7 m = 2.3	ft	Curb or sidew	alk width - right	0.7 m = 2.3 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pl	ace [1]					
Type of wearing surface Latex Concre		Latex Concrete or s	crete or similar additive [3]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		g Load Factor (LF) rati	ng reported by rati Inve	entory rating 35.	3 metric ton = 3	38.8 tons		
0.8 km = 0.5 mi	Method to dete	rmine operating ratin	g Load Factor (LF) rati	ng reported by rati Ope	Operating rating 58.6 metric ton = 64.8		64.5 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above le		legal loads [5]	Des	sign Load M 13.5	/ H 15 [2]			

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 200 Average daily tr	uck traffi % Year 1974	Future average daily traffic	200 Year 1977		
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway width	9.7 m = 31.8 ft	
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 -	way traffic [2]	Bridge median		
Parallel structure designation No parallel structur	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Highway, with or witho	ut ped Lanes under structure 4	Navigation control	Not applicable, no waterway. [N]		
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation h	orizontal clearance 0 = N/A			
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	lge	Minimum vertical clear	rance over bridge roadway 99.99	9 m = 328.1 ft	
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ghway beneath structure [H]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3.9 m = 12.	3 ft	Minimum lateral undercle	earance on left 10 m = 32.8 ft		
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.93 m = 16.2 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Somewhat bette	r than minimum adequacy to tolerate	being left in place as is [5]			
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by				
	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway im	provement cost		
	Length of structure improvement	T	Fotal project cost		
	Year of improvement cost estimate				
	Border bridge - state	Во	order bridge - percent responsibility	of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge not ove	Bridge not over waterway. [N]						
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable	e. [N]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y N/A [N]		Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 81.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a cu	Ilvert [N]						
Curvents Not applicable. Used i		ivert, [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently accept	otable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Inpected feature meets currently accept	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
		Inpected feature meets currently accer	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach Traffic safety features - approach	0	Inpected feature meets currently accept	otable standards. [1]					
у — т.	guardrail ends		otable standards. [1] Months					
Traffic safety features - approach Inspection date December 20	guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently accept	Months					
Traffic safety features - approach Inspection date December 20 Underwater inspection	guardrail ends 018 [1218] D	Inpected feature meets currently accepted generation frequency 24	Months					