## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-45-48 =	083-47-02 = -
Michigan [26]	Lenawee County	[091] Riga [68580]		AT OTTAWA LAKE RD			41.763333	83.783889
46200089000B010 Highway agency district: 6		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	Agency [02]		
Route 4697 RODESILER HWY			Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected DRAPER D			RAIN	
Design - Concrete [1] main Slab [01]		Design - approach  Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1924 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed N/A		
Total length 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Length of maximum span 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk		Curb or side	walk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place		ce [1]						
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length  1 km = 0.6 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rating	16 metric ton = 1	17.6 tons		
		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating 16 metric ton = 17.6 tons				
Bridge posting			Design Load M 9 / H 10 [1]					

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 495 Average daily tra	uck traffi 3 % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 750 Year 2026
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 14.6 m = 47.9 ft Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour	Scour calculation	/evaluation has not been made. [6]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning minor stream bed	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	26.9				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culve	rt. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	able or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	ole or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach								
Inspection date March 2008	[0308] Desi	gnated inspection frequency 24	Months					
	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date					
•	Not needed [N]		Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						